

Assignment Marking Scheme for Information Management

Foundation Degree for Business & Professional Administration (Incl Law stream)

<p>Clarity of Structure, Style and Report Presentation (cover page, titles names dates etc, contents generated by Word, different headers and or footers in even odd pages, references, appendices number separately etc):</p>	<p>There is a consistency of style throughout the report. TOC manually created, pg numbers and footers used. Some references to sources used included but not all. The overall content of the report lacks detail as to the system therefore the business focus.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>3</u> 10</p>
<p><u>SYSTEMS ANALYSIS</u> Organisational Background Problem Definition including description/weaknesses/failings of current system. Project initiation Cost Benefit Analysis Requirements definition and analysis Statement of Requirements</p>	<p>The organisational background is clear and provides an adequate overview. The problem is defined in terms of the complexity of the existing system and that Access can resolve the problem. However the report lacks supporting evidence eg discussions, questionnaires... CBA has been completed to a degree in App.B but is not explained at any point in the report. The system analysis lacks depth and detail.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>10</u> 30</p>
<p><u>SYSTEM DESIGN</u> Information Flow Diagram Data Flow Diagram showing key processes Entity Relationship diagram Data Dictionary with explanations of key fields</p>	<p>IFD - context diagram clear. DFD has missing flows in particular the output, also purpose rather than data flow stated ERD as titled is not an ERD this looks more like a system organisation of info. DD not included and no explanation provided.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>6</u> 30</p>
<p><u>DATABASE</u> Creation of a small database with at least one relationship Additional marks awarded for Queries, Forms and Reports according to relevance and complexity (two tables is sufficient, with an absolute maximum of five tables. Maximum of two forms, two queries and two reports)</p>	<p>Small DB created - 2tbls and 1qry (2 qry however the same result.) No reports, no referential integrity. Issues with the related fields (different field names used.) Lookup used in a table, identification of PK not accurate as not indexed and duplicates allowed Minimal alteration from default to field properties. Software features not utilised to aid user friendly features. DB incomplete and does not meet user requirements.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>7</u> 20</p>
<p>Conclusions Related to Organisational Context and System usefulness. Recommendations as Appropriate, Executive Summary</p>	<p>Conclusions unsure how with the DB in current state how this saves the organisation time or meets any of the organisation needs on pg 11.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>1</u> 10</p>

Total

27
100

Assignment Marking Scheme for Information Management

Foundation Degree for Business & Professional Administration (Incl Law stream)

<p>Clarity of Structure, Style and Report Presentation (cover page, titles names dates etc, contents generated by Word, different headers and or footers in even odd pages, references, appendices number separately etc):</p>	<p>There is a consistency of style throughout the report. TOC manually created, pg numbers and footers used. Some references to sources used included but not all. The overall content of the report lacks detail as to the system therefore the business focus.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>3</u> 10</p>
<p><u>SYSTEMS ANALYSIS</u> Organisational Background Problem Definition including description/weaknesses/failings of current system. Project initiation Cost Benefit Analysis Requirements definition and analysis Statement of Requirements</p>	<p>The organisational background is clear and provides an adequate overview. The problem is defined in terms of the complexity of the existing system and that Access can resolve the problem. However the report lacks supporting evidence eg discussions, questionnaires... CBA has been completed to a degree in App.B but is not explained at any point in the report. The system analysis lacks depth and detail.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>10</u> 30</p>
<p><u>SYSTEM DESIGN</u> Information Flow Diagram Data Flow Diagram showing key processes Entity Relationship diagram Data Dictionary with explanations of key fields</p>	<p>IFD - context diagram clear. DFD has missing flows in particular the output, also purpose rather than data flow stated ERD as titled is not an ERD this looks more like a system organisation of info. DD not included and no explanation provided.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>6</u> 30</p>
<p><u>DATABASE</u> Creation of a small database with at least one relationship Additional marks awarded for Queries, Forms and Reports according to relevance and complexity (two tables is sufficient, with an absolute maximum of five tables. Maximum of two forms, two queries and two reports)</p>	<p>Small DB created - 2tbls and 1qry (2 qry however the same result.) No reports, no referential integrity. Issues with the related fields (different field names used.) Lookup used in a table, identification of PK not accurate as not indexed and duplicates allowed Minimal alteration from default to field properties. Software features not utilised to aid user friendly features. DB incomplete and does not meet user requirements.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>7</u> 20</p>
<p>Conclusions Related to Organisational Context and System usefulness. Recommendations as Appropriate, Executive Summary</p>	<p>Conclusions unsure how with the DB in current state how this saves the organisation time or meets any of the organisation needs on pg 11.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>1</u> 10</p>

Total

27
100