

Murphy, Katy and Jessica Calefai (2014, March 15). Affirmative action proposal for California universities runs into Asian-American opposition. *San Jose Mercury News*, pg. A-4

student issues.
(8 → members.)
Discusses Asian-American opposition to a proposed referendum to be placed on the ballot to reinstate the use of race and ethnicity in University of California admission decisions. Proponents were looking to reverse portions of the Proposition 209 the affirmative action ban which was passes by voters in 1996. (Rules). The author wants to restore affirmative action in public education so the University better reflect the diversity of the State's population. Opponents argue that it establishes quotes which will limit the number of seats available to Asian-American students. The "quota" and "restricted access" caused opposition from some members of the Asian and Pacific Islander Legislative Caucus members who as Democratic legislators were needed to ensure passage of the bill.

(S. 5. 3. 1)
Editorial Board. (2016, June 23). Affirmative Action Survives, Again. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://nyti.ms/28TYywj>

Discusses the Supreme Court 4 to 3 decision to allows continuation of the University of Texas use of race as one of many factors weighed in filling some spots in the first-year class. The decision reaffirmed support for a "race-conscious" and "holistic" approach to admission decisions and rejected the claim of discrimination. The admission policy combines a process that reserves 75% of slots to the top 10% of graduating high school classes and the remaining 25% to the holistic approach which includes consideration of race. The article also pointed out the strong opposition to affirmative action by Justice Thomas and Alito. With Thomas calling it "faddiah theory" and Alito "affirmative action gone wild".

if you have any of that
topic.

* Cite the article
* Summary.
presenting the article