

old relation

Brief Answers

1) Likely yes, because Buck did impersonate his father by wearing ~~like him~~ and using his ^{nick} name, and his romantic relation with Linda West is likely to find Buck guilty of violated the subsection 1 of criminal impersonation

2) likely yes, because Buck wear and introduced him self as health inspector, and showed the badge lawfully is likely to find Buck guilty of violate the subsection 4 of criminal impersonation

Fact

A student college at CSC got an offer to ~~share~~ to rent a room in Linda West house who also student at CSC. After that, the ^{during summer} relation ship between Buck and Linda West developed drastically and Buck felt in love ^{with} Linda. Unfortunately, a week before fall semester began Linda told Buck that she did not feel in love with him and asked ^{him} to stay away from her life which caused Buck kind of disappointment.

Buck moved to the dorm when the fall semester start. On July 27th, a student college host a party on campus and everyone should wear his or her hero cloths. Buck attended the party and choosed to wear his father ~~suit~~ dark suit and borrowed his father gold badge which is a gift from some^{of} his friends. In fact, the badge was similar to health inspector's badge. During the party, Buck was introduced himself as Red his father ~~name~~ nickname and whenever ^{someone} asked ~~him~~ Buck who are you, he responded by showing them the badge and said "Red Buck, State Inspection." Around 11:30 pm, Buck was going ~~back~~ to his room, but he noticed that ~~linda~~ Linda is standing behind ~~a~~ a tree and she spoke with someone quietly. So, Buck came closer around 10 feet from them and said "State Inspector! Put your hands up!" the other guy ran off but West did what she heard (Buck said) and she dropped of the bag she was holding.

Discussion

Buck is guilty of subsection 1 ~~under~~ of a criminal statute of state of Connecticut for impersonates another person and ~~to~~ gain a ~~benefit~~ benefit from other citation. In State of Connecticut v John Smith the plain meaning another is "an additional one of the same kind... one other than oneself" citation. Which is apply for Buck situation when he choose to wear ^{like} his father suit and when he ^{using his nickname} introduced him self as state inspector. ~~Even if the Buck argue that he provide a nickname not a real name which is not the real person name and he is sufficient to find Buck guilty under subsection "1" of criminal impersonation. citation. Also,~~

~~credit~~ ~~Also,~~ Buck is likely ~~to be find~~ to be find guilty of criminal impersonation Under subsection "1", provide that "A person is guilty ~~~~~"

~~Because~~ the supreme court in State of Connecticut v ~~Smith~~ Dameish Moore held that moore is guilty for criminal impersonation by providing someone with more information to represent her at court so ~~because~~ the benefit was obvious to the court and therefore no matter whether the name was giving real or fictitious, she still convict of criminal impersonation ~~because of the benefit that she was planning to achieve.~~ Citation

not long time ago

which is apply for Buck situation ~~when~~
~~the~~ because he had live, ~~and~~ had a romantic
feeling with Linda West, his disappointed
after she asked him to leave her alone
^{e recognize her before the arrest} and the bag he took from her during
his impersonate of ~~stage~~ public inspector is ~~sufficient~~
~~element to find~~ obvious elements for Buck's ~~intent~~
~~to impersonate~~ to gain a benefit from his impersonate
against Linda West and no matter whether the
nickname is a real name or fictitious name in
Buck's situation. citation

★ Moreover, ~~the state~~ Buck is probably to
be found guilty of criminal impersonation
under subsection 4, "
citation. In state of
Conn v Gaudalope, the supreme court ~~held~~ that
if a person display a badge that look like
~~real a badge~~ ^{It is not requier for}
a person to prove whether the badge
that use was real or not, the statute
requier that the use of the badge
that appear to be lawfully distinguish him
as a public servant to find him guilty
of criminal impersonation under subsection 4
comparing ~~this~~ Gaudalope situation with
the fact of

Buck when he ~~il~~lawfully held out his badge and ~~int~~induced himself as a ~~public~~ public servant, it is similar to Guadalupe situation, citation. Therefore, Buck is likely ~~to be~~ guilty of criminal impersonation under subsection 4, citation.

Even if Buck would argue that he ~~is not~~ guilty of criminal impersonation because the state ~~inspector did not~~ whether the state inspector is a public servant or not, ^A Supreme Court in state of Conn in State of Conn v Grorgio define a public servant as "is an officer or employee of government, elected or appointed, and any person participating as an advisor, consultant otherwise,

paid or unpaid, in performing a governmental function." citation. Which apply to Buck situation when he identifies himself as state inspector during the party, and during his arrest to Linda West and Marks, is ~~clear~~ ~~not~~ obvious to include a ~~pa~~ state inspector under the direction of a supreme court of Conn to a public servant, therefore he is most likely to be guilty of criminal impersonation under subsection 4. citation.

Conclusion

In Conclusion, Buck is most likely to be found guilty of criminal impersonation under subsection 1 and 4 in The Connecticut state. because all the facts, ~~and~~ element, of the four previous cases ~~applied~~ , ,

, applied for Buck situation. Also, the interpretation of the ^{definition of} other ~~and~~ public servant by ~~state~~ supreme court ^{the} state of Connecticut, ^{include Buck} applied for Buck when he decided to wear his father dark suit and using his ~~not~~ nickname under the definition of other in subsection 1, and include ~~public~~ state inspector under the definition of a public servant in subsection four.