

myBlackboard

Resources

Joseph Moore
JPL Library

H Course Content ... Assignments Review Submission History: Journal Article Critique 1

201620

Spring

2016

TRP

313-

D06

LHO

Assignment

Assignment Details

Instructions 5

GRADE

LAST GRADED ATTEMPT

85.00/1

detailed infor...

ATTEMPT

4/11/16 10:57 PM

85.00/1

sentences not say

SUBMISSION

[article_critique-2.doc](#)

the informatio...

at exactly are you

COMMENTS

Feedback to Learner

4/22/16 12:23 AM

JOURNAL ARTICLE CRITIQUE GRADING RUBRIC

Criterion	Points Possible	Points Given	Instructor Comments
Format: sections are within the word count limit (250–300 words). Paper is double-spaced with 1-inch margins and 12 pt. Times New Roman font. File is properly saved as specified in the instructions. The template is properly used to compose the paper.	15	15	While you have done well in repeatedly and creatively saying [my paraphrase] “the author provided general biblical information in a systematic way”, you have not provided (m)any details regarding how he does that or whether or not that was effective, or any other critical analysis of the article. It seemed as though each sentence was a creative repetition of the one before it. A good critical analysis will include an exploration of both strengths and weaknesses in the author’s presentation. A good personal conclusion will explain how you arrived at your own position in regards to the subject matter of the article. Both of these were lacking in this particular paper. While the format and length of the paper may limit how detailed you can get, some detail is necessary in terms of demonstrating your analytical and critical thinking process. I would encourage you, on the next Journal Article Critique, to make a list of both strengths and weaknesses which you determine the author displays in his analysis of the subject, then frame your conclusion around those in a cohesive way.
The purpose of the article is clearly and correctly identified.	10	10	
Demonstration of an understanding of the major arguments and thesis of the article is shown.	25	15	
The strengths and weaknesses of the article are properly assessed.	20	0	
You have concluded your critique with a summary statement on the overall effectiveness of the article.	10	0	
You have clearly identified where you stand on the topic.	10	10	
You have clearly articulated your position and provided adequate biblical and theological support.	45	20	
You are aware of and have responded to the main objections to your position.	15	15	
TOTAL	150	85	

Save As Artifact