

What do you think would be the consequences of a legal system in which the defendant is considered guilty until proven innocent, and the burden of proof fell upon him?

Is there a possibility that the justification "defense of others" encourages vigilantism in the style of George Zimmerman?

Years ago, the son of the ambassador of a European country was driving around Washington, DC, completely inebriated and killed an innocent pedestrian. The local DA was unable to prosecute him because of his diplomatic immunity. What do you think? Should diplomats and their families not be held responsible for their crimes?