workforce is so diverse, managers should recognize that some employees ready for empowerment than others. Managers must diagnose situations makes the degree of empowerment to extend to employees. Recently, the ent of change in organizations was identified as another area wherein ment can have a strong effect. Empowered employees are more likely to to the organizations' success. Empowered individuals are also more satisfied, and creative. Empowered individuals are also more

responsibility and authority, you must be prepared to allow employand failure is not something most managers tolerate well. At Merck, the CEO Ray Gilmartin empowered scientists too much and that their cost Merck its profitability and reputation as one of Fortune's Most Admpanies. One example of this empowerment involved a diabetes drug research showed caused tumors in mice. Scientists argued that despite adies showing the drug was not viable, research should continue, and it the drug was finally axed, costing the company considerable time and

agerial Implications: Using Power Effectively

research studies on competencies which help managers to succeed, such small intelligence. There are also studies focusing on why managers fail. Insuly, most of these failures involve the misuse of power and organizational there are five reasons why executives fail:

see themselves and their companies as dominating their environments.

Such confidence is helpful, the perception that a company is without peer recipe for failure. On a more personal level, CEOs who see themselves as the such gifted in comparison to their competitors and coworkers are generally for a fall.

often lead to the executive suite, an unwillingness to admit ignorance or others' input may trigger disaster. A reluctance to empower others leads to

ruthlessly eliminate anyone who isn't 100 percent behind them. Business is replete with leaders who culled the ranks of those who were willing different opinions, only to find themselves blundering down the road asstrophe without anyone to yell "Stop."

stubbornly rely on what worked for them in the past. Like most of us, leaders tend to fall back on what has worked before. Unfortunately, solution is rarely an ideal fit for today's challenge, and successes of least may well inhibit success in the future.

bave no clear boundaries between their personal interests and corporate sets. As top leaders invest more time and effort in a firm, it's easy for them become convinced that the firm is simply a reflection of their own enormous tronically, leaders who fail to make this distinction tend to be far less careabout spending corporate resources, leading to often embarrassing revelations of executive excess at employee and stockholder expense.⁸⁴