

PHIL 101 G

Review # 2

Ch. 2-3

Terms: Theist, atheist, agnostic, deism, omnipotent, omniscient, natural evil, human evil, faith, evidence, Pascal's Wager, external world, skepticism, brain-in-a-vat, solipsism, method of discovery, method of confirmation, simple/complex idea, a priori, a posteriori, certainty, pragmatic, paradox

Positions: Know what each one believes and why.

Mortalist

Survivor

Believer

Questioner: believing things
your respon dengrows

Logical Theist we can approve the
god exist just from idea

Scientist we can know some things
must exist just past on the idea of it

سكيز جابر
2.4 Causal Theist

Design Theist

Atheist

Contradictor: blaim that sefering
exist in the world

Reconciler

Foundationalist: ^{certainty} messacery condition
for knollege

Pragmatist: we know something if we
can put it to use

Empiricist: all knollege come from
experience

Rationalist: inditum for experience
we got

Internalist: we lock knollege

Perceiver, skepticism is incoherent

Predictor: we can use induction
about future and to tell we not
dreaming

Skeptic: we have we --- for the future
for the future example past

Positivist: science provide good way
for knollege

Romantic science limited

Representationalist: statement or

the way things are in the world

Postmodernist:

the truth is

we just call thing true
other people

The exam will be held in class on Wednesday, October 29. Please bring a Scantron (half-sheet, green) and a no. 2 pencil. If the average is below 75, the exam will be scaled. Extra credit may be submitted through Assignments on Canvas and is due October 29.