

Rubric Detail

Select **Grid View** or **List View** to change the rubric's layout.

Name: **NURS_6521_Week8_Assignment_Rubric**

EXIT

Grid View

List View

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Briefly summarize the patient case study you were assigned, including each of the three decisions you took for the patient presented. Be specific.	18 (18%) - 20 (20%) The response accurately and thoroughly summarizes in detail the patient case study assigned, including specific and complete details on each of the three decisions made for the patient presented.	16 (16%) - 17 (17%) The response accurately summarizes the patient case study assigned, including details on each of the three decisions made for the patient presented.	14 (14%) - 15 (15%) The response inaccurately or vaguely summarizes the patient case study assigned, including details on each of the three decisions made for the patient presented.	0 (0%) - 13 (13%) The response inaccurately and vaguely summarizes the patient case study assigned, including details on each of the three decisions made for the patient presented, or is missing.
Based on the decisions you recommended for the patient case study, explain whether you believe the decisions provided were supported by the evidence-based	23 (23%) - 25 (25%) The response accurately and thoroughly explains in detail how the decisions recommended for the patient case study are	20 (20%) - 22 (22%) The response accurately explains how the decisions recommended for the patient case study are supported by the evidence-	18 (18%) - 19 (19%) The response inaccurately or vaguely explains how the decisions recommended for the patient case study are supported by	0 (0%) - 17 (17%) The response inaccurately and vaguely explains how the decisions recommended for the patient case study are supported by

literature. Be specific and provide examples. Be sure to support your response with evidence and references from outside resources.

supported by the evidence-based literature.

The response includes specific and relevant outside reference examples that fully support the explanation provided.

based literature.

The response includes relevant outside reference examples that lend support for the explanation provided that are accurate.

the evidence-based literature.

The response includes inaccurate or vague outside reference examples that may or may not lend support for the explanation provided or are misaligned to the explanation provided.

the evidence-based literature, or is missing.

The response includes inaccurate and vague outside reference examples that do not lend support for the explanation provided, or is missing.

What were you hoping to achieve with the decisions you recommended for the patient case study you were assigned? Support your response with evidence and references from outside resources.

18 (18%) - 20 (20%)

The response accurately and thorough explains in detail what they were hoping to achieve with the decisions recommend for the patient case study assigned.

The response includes specific and relevant outside reference examples that fully support the explanation provided.

16 (16%) - 17 (17%)

The response accurately explains what they were hoping to achieve with the decisions recommended for the patient case study assigned.

The response includes relevant outside reference examples that lend support for the explanation provided that are accurate.

14 (14%) - 15 (15%)

The response inaccurately or vaguely explains what they were hoping to achieve with the decisions recommended for the patient case study assigned.

The response includes inaccurate or vague outside reference examples that may or may not lend support for the explanation provided or are misaligned to the

0 (0%) - 13 (13%)

The response inaccurately and vaguely explains what they were hoping to achieve with the decisions recommended for the patient case study assigned, or is missing.

The response includes inaccurate and vague outside reference examples that do not lend support for the explanation provided, or is missing.

			explanation provided.	
Explain any difference between what you expected to achieve with each of the decisions and the results of the decisions in the exercise. Describe whether they were different. Be specific and provide examples.	18 (18%) - 20 (20%) The response accurately and clearly explains in detail any differences between what they expected to achieve with each of the decisions and the results of the decisions in the exercise. The response provides specific, accurate, and relevant examples that fully support whether there were differences between the decisions made and the decisions available in the exercise.	16 (16%) - 17 (17%) The response accurately explains any differences between what they expected to achieve with each of the decisions and the results of the decisions in the exercise. The response provides accurate examples that support whether there were differences between the decisions made and the decisions available in the exercise.	14 (14%) - 15 (15%) The response inaccurately or vaguely explains any differences between what they expected to achieve with each of the decisions and the results of the decisions in the exercise. The response provides inaccurate or vague examples that may or may not support whether there were differences between the decisions made and the decisions available in the exercise.	0 (0%) - 13 (13%) vaguely explains in detail any differences between what they expected to achieve with each of the decisions and the results of the decisions in the exercise, or is missing. The response provides inaccurate and vague examples that do not support whether there were differences between the decisions made and the decisions available in the exercise, or is missing.
Written Expression and Formatting - Paragraph Development and Organization: Paragraphs make clear points that support well developed ideas,	5 (5%) - 5 (5%) Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity.	4 (4%) - 4 (4%) Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 80% of the time.	3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%) Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 60%–79% of the time.	0 (0%) - 3 (3%) Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity less than 60% of the time.

flow logically, and demonstrate continuity of ideas. Sentences are carefully focused--neither long and rambling nor short and lacking substance.				
Written Expression and Formatting - English writing standards: Correct grammar, mechanics, and proper punctuation	5 (5%) - 5 (5%) Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation with no errors	4 (4%) - 4 (4%) Contains a few (1-2) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors	3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%) Contains several (3-4) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors	0 (0%) - 3 (3%) Contains many (≥ 5) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors that interfere with the reader's understanding
Written Expression and Formatting - The paper follows correct APA format for title page, headings, font, spacing, margins, indentations, page numbers, running head, parenthetical/in-text citations, and reference list.	5 (5%) - 5 (5%) Uses correct APA format with no errors	4 (4%) - 4 (4%) Contains a few (1-2) APA format errors	3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%) Contains several (3-4) APA format errors	0 (0%) - 3 (3%) Contains many (≥ 5) APA format errors

Total Points: 100

Name: **NURS_6521_Week8_Assignment_Rubric**

EXIT