

1. There are many identity traits that shape a person's political interests and preferences. These include gender, religion, language, race, ethnicity, and national identity. Are these identities reconcilable with the State? How can a State, bureaucracy and all, most successfully manage the conflicting interests of different communities? What type of State has the best chance of this? What steps can be taken to promote stability and reduce the chances of conflict?

you don't need this.

I am answering the first question on identity traits that shape a person's political interests and preferences. On whether or not these states reconcilable with the State, it is worth noting that

identities are

run-on sentence

the identity traits that shape a person's political interests and preferences including gender, religion, language, race, ethnicity, and national identity are reconcilable with the State. For

instance, there has been a major shift in gender influence in the political arena. For instance,

more women have joined the voting fraternity. Observers believe that women think that the Democrats more strongly support women's issues including equal work, equal pay, and equal

legal rights. Additionally, women in the gender context will strongly agree with the state if it

supports education and health care. It is also alluded that the voting behavior means that women

are likely to vote for female candidates for office. Women as an aspect of gender are deemed to

be inferior to men and this makes them take a backbench in most of the things that happen within

the political circles that are highly dominated by men.

No U.S. examples

word phrase repetition

try to find a more clear way to phrase this.

check your formatting! No extra space between paragraphs - you mean historically?

Religion is also reconcilable with the state in the sense that different religious factions support the state for social issues such as school prayer, abortion, and divorce. It is also worth noting that race and ethnicity has seen African Americans for instance be the most loyal

¹ Women, U. N. "In pursuit of justice: Progress of the world's women.

check spelling!

Democrats than any other identifiable group. Others like the Latinos tend to vote for Democrats though the relationship is not as strong as it is for blacks. It is also notable that the various Latino groups have very different voting patterns. The Cuban Americans overall have a strong tendency to vote Republican although the Mexican Americans have a strong tendency to vote Democratic.

This is about Party, not state

Formatting - 0

People also feel that it is their national identity that makes them be close with the State and they must pledge alliance as well as be able to engage with State's activities. It is therefore notable that Americans are influenced by a wide array of identities that are reconcilable with the State. However, they may be merely indicators of tendencies.

There are many conflicts of interest between these communities. By concerting efforts, a State, bureaucracy and all, can most successfully manage the conflicting interests of different communities. With conflicts, the State will remain economically unviable and not individual from any of the groups would benefit from the conflicts of interests.

As for the bureaucracy can be seen a system of government in which most of the important decisions are taken by state officials rather than by elected representatives. When these state officials act, they act on behalf of the State. Therefore, it is just like the State working as a controller. Religious factions which tend to be vocal and their congregation. It is only the government through its agents that can manage the conflicting interests of different communities.

Some with ego with their ethnicity or race are controlled by the bureaucratic government

good, but how?

publication information and page # needed!

² O'Neil, Patrick, Essentials of Comparative Politics (Sixth Edition). ISBN 9780393624588

italics

agencies³. Communities will tend to retaliate, but with bureaucracy, their aggressiveness is dealt with and so are the conflicting interests of different communities.

Under such bureaucracies the State could use them to manage conflicts. Bureaucracies are used to sustain and maintain ruthless domination of religions, ethnicities or races that want to go overboard and bring conflicts⁴. The bureaucracies maintain control over popular religious factions as well as those immigrants who feel that their national identity is superior to that of the others or indigenous people. As a note, no community will bring conflicting interests for resolution.

This is a tree.

you need to explain HOW this works.

As noted, it is a State with an elaborate bureaucracy that has the best chance of managing the conflicting interests of different communities. Moreover, if the State is hierarchical and administrative, then, it has the best chance of managing the conflicting interests of different communities⁵. In such a state, there is an adequate control that can help the resolve any dispute related to ethnic, racial religious or any other identity. Even democratic States, bureaucratic structures are imported and brought under the popular control.

What does this mean for your argument?

Moreover, a State with new political structures would be able to maintain popular control over the conduct and performance of the appointed public officials. Technological change could also create new shared identities and sources of cooperation that mitigate the conflicts of interests that arise. The fragment communities carrying the various identities are also stabilized

³ Ernest, Gellner. Nations and nationalism.

page number? improper citation format

⁴ David A., Lake, and Rothchild Donald. 41-75.

improper citation format

⁵ O'Neil, Patrick, Essentials of Comparative Politics (Sixth Edition) ISBN 9780393624588

improper citation format.

and issues of conflicts of interests are done with. Therefore, it would be ease for the state to manage conflict using the bureaucratic structures.

One of the steps that can be taken to promote stability and reduce the chances of conflict is respecting each of them. One's religion cannot turn out to be superior to the other. There is also

the need for be competent in relation to the community. Ethnic groups should not have the collective fear of the future as this makes them to fear for their safety which is very dangerous⁶.

It is also good to eliminate ethnic activists or political entrepreneurs who accelerate the conflicts.

It is also good to have an active engagement of the international community in the long term; if at all these conflicts would be minimized if not reduced. Ethnic violence should be shunned because it not only accelerates conflicts but also fuels animosity that trickles down to the other identities.

In conclusion, there are many identity traits that shape a person's political interests and preferences. These traits need to be cohered to ensure that none becomes the source of conflicts of interest.

⁶ David A., Lake, and Rothchild Donald. "Containing fear: 41-75.

improper citation formatting.

This goes against your "pathless domination" claim on p. 3.

Bibliography

Gellner, Ernest. *Nations and nationalism*. Cornell University Press, 2008.

~~Itaica~~
Itaica:

Lake, David A., and Donald Rothchild. "Containing fear: The origins and management of ethnic conflict." *International security* 21, no. 2 (1996): 41-75.

italics

Patrick O'Neil, Essentials of Comparative Politics (Sixth Edition). (New York: W.W. Norton & Co., 2018) ISBN ~~9780393624588~~

Women, U. N. "In pursuit of justice: Progress of the world's women." ~~Retrieved from~~ (2011).

What is the FULL name of the group that produces this text?