

More importantly, policy makers and analysts will have to meet the challenge of relational commonality and comprehensiveness in prescribing policy to ameliorate problems. This will require changed analogy from humanistic to a mechanistic, with focus on function in some cases. But a more fundamental change would be to take a providential on the human condition as means of isolate true cause in the midst societal calamity.

The accountability orientation entails the itemization of objectives of operation at all levels of program execution. It requires the determination of prerequisites for optimal operation and the development and subsequent measure of absolute standards of efficiency and effectiveness. The accountability measured is that of decision-makers and designees at various operational levels of the organization. The product of this activity would be the structural and functional characteristics of the operation under review; factors determining the direction in which the entity is evolving; and the degree to which the operative entity has been able to reach implied and specifically mandated goals/objectives as well as those set operationally by the decision-maker.

The evaluation process follows the above from a discrepancy orientation – that is, to what degree does actual deviate from plan in relation to:

- actual operation;
- observed performance;
- observed linkages between functional entities within and between organizations, thought required to achieve specified goals.

To confront discrepancy, assessment of resources answers the question of whether the building blocks for improvement are available improve conditions.