

<p>Heuristics ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>10 required</p>	<p>Not provided or not selected from list</p>	<p>Some Heuristics provided but not from Neilson, or not relevant to domain and design.</p>	<p>Some Heuristics provided from Neilson, but not well linked to domain and design.</p>	<p>3-4 Heuristics provided from Neilson, relevant to domain and design.</p>	<p>Heuristics well described in context</p>
<p>Videos provided ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>10 required</p>	<p>No videos of work</p>	<p>Video of students work either facilitator or reviewer provided, but not both</p>	<p>Video of students work facilitator and reviewer provided, but not good process</p>	<p>Video of students work facilitator and reviewer provided, and ethical process shown</p>	<p>Videos are short and to the point. Clear review of work relevant to the prototype</p>
<p>Review ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>10 required</p>	<p>No reflection</p>	<p>Some reflection on what did or did not work in prototype</p>	<p>Some reflection on what did or did not work in prototype and review process. Some feedback to other student based on heuristics, and understanding of changes needed in own work</p>	<p>Good feedback and reflection on what did or did not work in both prototypes based on all heuristics chosen and ideas to improve process for team work</p>	<p>Clear process developed for team work</p>
<p>Communication ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> + ADD CRITERION</p>	<p>Not provided</p>	<p>Difficult to understand generally. Not presented as an academic piece of work.</p>	<p>Reasonably clear communication, Requirements generally followed.</p>	<p>Clear, coherent document that includes all requirements. Good use of material</p>	<p>Clear outline of design solution planned which seems feasible</p>

<p>Need/Point of View ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>3 required</p>	<p>Not provided</p>	<p>The problem is unclear or missing, the solution requirement is unclear or missing, or the point of view is unrelated to your design brief.</p>	<p>The need relates to your brief. The problem and solution requirement are stated, but the solution requirement is either too general or too specific</p>	<p>The problem and solution requirement are clearly stated. The requirement provides focus without demanding one specific implementation.</p>	<p>The problem and solution requirement are clearly stated and provide for an innovative and implementable (within this unit) design idea</p>
<p>Storyboard ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>10 required</p>	<p>Not provided or single solution depicted</p>	<p>It's hard to understand the alternatives possible in storyboard presented and only a vague idea of how the idea will work in context.</p>	<p>The alternatives possible are reasonably clear. However the reader may have lingering questions about the situations depicted or the differentiation of the solutions</p>	<p>Very clear communication. Can come up with distinct prototypes from your storyboards.</p>	<p>Provides different ideas for innovative approach to the situation through detail of context</p>
<p>Paper Prototype ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>10 required</p> <p>+ ADD CRITERION</p>	<p>Not provided</p>	<p>Prototype was incomplete and/or included little interaction Many screens refer to screens that are not prototyped i.e. leading to dead ends Often unclear what a certain screen does.</p>	<p>The purpose of each screen is clear with most interactions flows reasonably clear. A user looking at the prototype may sometimes have questions e.g. how to navigate between screens; how to use a form on a screen, or what some</p>	<p>Prototype demonstrated enough detail so that: a user can get a good feel for how the application works and flows, and a programmer can use the prototypes to implement a skeleton application that has working forms and links</p>	<p>Has significant screens and detail to solve the situation provided for the context.</p>
<p>Theoretical Research ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>20 required</p>	<p>Not provided</p>	<p>No reference to theoretical ideas, maybe some terms used but no explanation or reference</p>	<p>Aligned to some theoretical material from web, with references, in some sections</p>	<p>Aligned to some relevant academic work (eg reading given) and references given for all sections</p>	<p>Originality demonstrated in the application and use of academic sources.</p>
<p>Practice Research ✕</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow comments,</p> <p>20 required</p> <p>+ ADD CRITERION</p>	<p>Not provided</p>	<p>No reference to practical ideas, maybe some terms used but no explanation or reference</p>	<p>Aligned to some practical material from web, with references for one section</p>	<p>Aligned to some relevant practical academic work (eg reading given) and references given for all application sections</p>	<p>Originality demonstrated in the application and use of academic sources.</p>