

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
<p>Develop a 5- to 6-slide PowerPoint presentation that addresses the following:· Explain the concept of a knowledge worker.· Define and explain nursing informatics.</p>	<p>25 to >22.0 pts Excellent Able to synthesize the literature and course resources to present a clear and accurate explanation of the 2 concepts....The presentation clearly and accurately explains the concept of a knowledge worker....The presentation clearly and accurately defines and explains nursing informatics.</p>	<p>22 to >19.0 pts Good Summarize the literature and course resources to present a clear and accurate explanation of the 2 concepts....The presentation explains the concept of a knowledge worker. ...The presentation defines and explains nursing informatics.</p>	<p>19 to >17.0 pts Fair The presentation is missing one of the concepts or one of the concepts is superficially addressed.</p>	<p>17 to >0 pts Poor The presentation is missing two concepts or the concepts are superficially addressed.</p>	25 pts
<p>Develop a graphic visual representation of the role of the nurse leader as a knowledge worker. On the slide, include an explanation of the role.</p>	<p>15 to >13.0 pts Excellent The presentation includes a detailed graphic and explanation of the role of the nurse leader as a knowledge worker.</p>	<p>13 to >11.0 pts Good The presentation includes a graphic and an adequate explanation of the role of the nurse leader as a knowledge worker.</p>	<p>11 to >10.0 pts Fair The presentation includes a graphic, yet the explanation of the role is not addressed or is superficially addressed.</p>	<p>10 to >0 pts Poor The presentation is missing a graphic, an explanation of the role, or both the graphic and explanation of the role are missing.</p>	15 pts
<p>Present the hypothetical scenario you originally shared in the Discussion Forum. Include your examination of the data you could use, how the data might be accessed/collected, and what knowledge might</p>	<p>35 to >31.0 pts Excellent The presentation clearly and thoroughly includes the hypothetical scenario originally shared in the Discussion Forum, including a detailed and accurate examination of the data used, how the data might be accessed/collected,</p>	<p>31 to >27.0 pts Good The presentation includes the hypothetical scenario originally shared in the Discussion Forum, including an accurate examination of the data used, how the data might be accessed/collected, and the knowledge</p>	<p>27 to >24.0 pts Fair The presentation includes the hypothetical scenario originally shared in the Discussion Forum; one or two of the criteria are not addressed or are</p>	<p>24 to >0 pts Poor The presentation is missing the hypothetical scenario originally shared in the Discussion Forum or three or more of the criteria are not addressed or</p>	35 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
<p>be derived from the data. Be sure to incorporate feedback received from your colleagues' replies.</p>	<p>and the knowledge that could be derived from the data. ...The presentation incorporates peer feedback.</p>	<p>that could be derived from the data. ...The presentation incorporates peer feedback.</p>	<p>superficially addressed.</p>	<p>are superficially addressed.</p>	
<p>PowerPoint presentation: The presentation is professional; images are appropriately attributed; images are clear. The presentation text is readable. Presentation flows well and is presented in a logical order.</p>	<p>5 to >4.0 pts Excellent The presentation is professional; images are appropriately attributed; images are clear. The presentation text is readable. Presentation flows well and is presented in a logical order.</p>	<p>4 to >3.0 pts Good Eighty percent of the presentation is professional; images are appropriately attributed; images are clear. The presentation text is readable. Presentation flows well and is presented in a logical order.</p>	<p>3 to >2.0 pts Fair Sixty to seventy nine percent of the presentation follows these guidelines: presentation is professional; images are appropriately attributed; images are clear. The presentation text is readable. Presentation flows well and is presented in a logical order.</p>	<p>2 to >0 pts Poor Less than sixty percent of the presentation follows these guidelines: presentation is professional; images are appropriately attributed; images are clear. The presentation text is readable. Presentation flows well and is presented in a logical order.</p>	<p>5 pts</p>
<p>Resources</p>	<p>10 to >8.0 pts Excellent Presentation includes: 3 or more peer-reviewed articles and 2 or more course resources.</p>	<p>8 to >7.0 pts Good Presentation includes: 2 peer-reviewed articles and 2 course resources.</p>	<p>7 to >6.0 pts Fair Presentation includes: 1 peer-reviewed article and 1 course resource.</p>	<p>6 to >0 pts Poor Presentation includes: 1 or no resources.</p>	<p>10 pts</p>

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
<p>Written Expression and Formatting - English writing standards:Correct grammar, mechanics, and proper punctuation</p>	<p>5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation with no errors.</p>	<p>4 to >3.5 pts Good Contains a few (1-2) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.</p>	<p>3.5 to >3.0 pts Fair Contains several (3-4) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.</p>	<p>3 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (≥ 5) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors that interfere with the reader's understanding.</p>	<p>5 pts</p>
<p>Written Expression and Formatting – APA:The reference list and image attribution list follow correct APA format</p>	<p>5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct APA format with no errors.</p>	<p>4 to >3.5 pts Good Contains a few (1-2) APA format errors.</p>	<p>3.5 to >3.0 pts Fair Contains several (3-4) APA format errors.</p>	<p>3 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (≥ 5) APA format errors.</p>	<p>5 pts</p>

Total Points: 100