

Rubric Detail

Select Grid View or List View to change the rubric's layout.

Name: **NURS_6053_Module04_Week09_Assignment_Rubric**



Grid View

List View

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
<p>Part 1: Work Environment Assessment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete the Work Environment Assessment Template. • Describe the results of the Work Environment Assessment you completed on your workplace. • Identify two things that surprised you about the results and one idea that you believed prior to conducting the assessment that was confirmed. • Explain what the results of the assessment suggests about the health and civility of your workplace. 	<p>45 (45%) - 50 (50%)</p> <p>An accurate, detailed, and completed Work Environment Assessment Template is provided.</p> <p>The responses accurately and thoroughly describe in detail the results of the Work Environment Assessment completed on a workplace.</p> <p>The responses accurately and clearly identify two surprising things about the results and thoroughly describe in detail at least one idea that was believed prior to</p>	<p>40 (40%) - 44 (44%)</p> <p>An accurate and completed Work Environment Assessment Template is provided.</p> <p>The responses accurately describe the results of the Work Environment Assessment completed on a workplace.</p> <p>The responses accurately identify two surprising things about the results and describe at least one idea that was believed prior to conducting the</p>	<p>35 (35%) - 39 (39%)</p> <p>A completed Work Environment Assessment Template that is vague or inaccurate is provided.</p> <p>The responses describe the results of the Work Environment Assessment completed on a workplace that is vague or inaccurate.</p> <p>The responses identify two surprising things about the results and describe at least one idea that was believed prior to conducting the</p>	<p>0 (0%) - 34 (34%)</p> <p>A vague and inaccurate Work Environment Assessment Template is provided, or is missing.</p> <p>The responses describe the results of the Work Environment Assessment completed on a workplace that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.</p> <p>The responses identify two surprising things about the results and describe at least one idea that was believed prior to conducting the</p>

Excellent

conducting the assessment that was confirmed.

The responses accurately and thoroughly explain in detail what the results of the assessment suggests about the health and civility of a workplace.

Good

assessment that was confirmed.

The responses accurately explain what the results of the assessment suggests about the health and civility of a workplace.

Fair

assessment that was confirmed that is vague or inaccurate.

The responses explain what the results of the assessment suggests about the health and civility of a workplace that is vague or inaccurate.

Poor

assessment that was confirmed that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.

The responses explain what the results of the assessment suggest about the health and civility of a workplace that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.

Part 2: Reviewing the Literature

- Briefly describe the theory or concept presented in the article you selected.
- Explain how the theory or concept presented in the article relates to the results of your Work Environment Assessment.
- Explain how your organization could apply the theory highlighted in your selected article to improve organizational health and/or

14 (14%) - 15 (15%)

The responses accurately and thoroughly describe the theory or concept presented in the article selected.

The responses accurately and completely explain in detail how the theory or concept presented in the article relates to the results of the Work Environment Assessment.

The responses accurately and thoroughly

12 (12%) - 13 (13%)

The responses accurately describe the theory or concept presented in the article selected.

The responses accurately explain how the theory or concept presented in the article relates to the results of the Work Environment Assessment.

The responses accurately explain how

11 (11%) - 11 (11%)

The responses describe the theory or concept presented in the article selected that is vague or inaccurate.

The responses explain how the theory or concept presented in the article relates to the results of the Work Environment Assessment that is vague or inaccurate.

The responses

0 (0%) - 10 (10%)

The responses describe the theory or concept presented in the article selected that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.

The responses explain how the theory or concept presented in the article relates to the results of the Work Environment Assessment that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.

The responses

stronger work teams. Be specific and provide examples.

Excellent

explain in detail how an organization could apply the theory highlighted in the selected article to improve organizational health and/or stronger work teams.

Specific and detailed examples are provided which fully support the responses.

Good

an organization could apply the theory highlighted in the selected article to improve organizational health and/or stronger work teams.

Specific examples are provided which may support the responses.

Fair

explain how an organization could apply the theory highlighted in the selected article to improve organizational health and/or create stronger work teams that is vague or inaccurate.

Vague or inaccurate examples are provided which may support the responses.

Poor

explain how an organization could apply the theory highlighted in the selected article to improve organizational health and/or create stronger work teams that is vague and inaccurate, or is missing.

Specific examples are not provided to support the responses.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
<p>Part 3: Evidence-Based Strategies to Create High-Performance Interprofessional Teams</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Recommend at least two strategies, supported in the literature, that can be implemented to address any shortcomings revealed in your Work Environment Assessment. · Recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to bolster successful practices revealed in your Work Environment Assessment. 	<p>18 (18%) - 20 (20%)</p> <p>The responses clearly and thoroughly recommend in detail at least two strategies that can be implemented to address any shortcomings revealed in the Work Environment Assessment.</p> <p>The responses clearly and thoroughly recommend in detail at least two strategies that can be implemented to bolster successful practices revealed in the Work Environment Assessment.</p>	<p>16 (16%) - 19 (19%)</p> <p>The responses accurately recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to address any shortcomings revealed in the Work Environment Assessment.</p> <p>The responses accurately recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to bolster successful practices revealed in the Work Environment Assessment.</p>	<p>14 (14%) - 15 (15%)</p> <p>The responses recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to address any shortcomings revealed in the Work Environment Assessment that is vague or inaccurate, or only recommends one strategy.</p> <p>The responses recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to bolster successful practices revealed in the Work Environment Assessment that is vague or inaccurate, or only recommends one strategy.</p>	<p>0 (0%) - 13 (13%)</p> <p>The responses recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to address any shortcomings revealed in the Work Environment Assessment that is vague and inaccurate, only recommends one strategy, or is missing.</p> <p>The responses recommend at least two strategies that can be implemented to bolster successful practices revealed in the Work Environment Assessment that is vague and inaccurate, only recommends one strategy, or is missing.</p>

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
<p>Written Expression and Formatting - Paragraph Development and Organization:</p> <p>Paragraphs make clear points that support well-developed ideas, flow logically, and demonstrate continuity of ideas. Sentences are carefully focused—neither long and rambling nor short and lacking substance. A clear and comprehensive purpose statement and introduction is provided which delineates all required criteria.</p>	<p>5 (5%) - 5 (5%)</p> <p>Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity.</p> <p>A clear and comprehensive purpose statement, introduction, and conclusion is provided which delineates all required criteria.</p>	<p>4 (4%) - 4 (4%)</p> <p>Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 80% of the time.</p> <p>Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment is stated, yet is brief and not descriptive.</p>	<p>3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%)</p> <p>Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 60%–79% of the time.</p> <p>Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment is vague or off topic.</p>	<p>0 (0%) - 3 (3%)</p> <p>Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity < 60% of the time.</p> <p>No purpose statement, introduction, or conclusion was provided.</p>
<p>Written Expression and Formatting - English writing standards:</p> <p>Correct grammar, mechanics, and proper punctuation</p>	<p>5 (5%) - 5 (5%)</p> <p>Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation with no errors.</p>	<p>4 (4%) - 4 (4%)</p> <p>Contains a few (1 or 2) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.</p>	<p>3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%)</p> <p>Contains several (3 or 4) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.</p>	<p>0 (0%) - 3 (3%)</p> <p>Contains many (≥ 5) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors that interfere with the reader’s understanding.</p>

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Written Expression and Formatting - The paper follows correct APA format for title page, headings, font, spacing, margins, indentations, page numbers, parenthetical/in-text citations, and reference list.	5 (5%) - 5 (5%) Uses correct APA format with no errors.	4 (4%) - 4 (4%) Contains a few (1 or 2) APA format errors.	3.5 (3.5%) - 3.5 (3.5%) Contains several (3 or 4) APA format errors.	0 (0%) - 3 (3%) Contains many (≥ 5) APA format errors.

Total Points: 100

Name: **NURS_6053_Module04_Week09_Assignment_Rubric**

