

▼ Evaluation Tool

5 points

Criteria Description

Evaluation Tool

5. Target	5 points
At least three evaluation tools are completed and submitted.	
4. Acceptable	4.35 points
At least two evaluation tools are completed and submitted.	
3. Approaching	3.7 points
One evaluation tool is completed and submitted.	
2. Insufficient	3.45 points
Evaluation tool is incomplete or contains inappropriate data.	
1. No Submission	0 points
Not addressed.	

▼ Feedback Analysis

20 points

Criteria Description

Feedback Analysis

5. Target	20 points
Analysis of the feedback received after the presentation is clear and includes relevant details.	
4. Acceptable	17.4 points
Analysis of the feedback received after the presentation is generally clear and includes some relevant details.	
3. Approaching	14.8 points

Analysis of the feedback received after the presentation is vague and lacks relevant details.

2. Insufficient

13.8 points

Analysis of the feedback received after the presentation is incomplete.

1. No Submission

0 points

▼ Implications for Future Practice

15 points

Criteria Description

Implications for Future Practice

5. Target

15 points

Analysis insightfully discusses implications for application as a future practitioner.

4. Acceptable

13.05 points

Analysis logically discusses implications for application as a future practitioner.

3. Approaching

11.1 points

Analysis shallowly discusses implications for application as a future practitioner.

2. Insufficient

10.35 points

Analysis unrealistically discusses implications for application as a future practitioner.

1. No Submission

0 points

Not addressed.

▼ Organization

5 points

Criteria Description

Organization

5. Target

5 points

The content is well-organized and logical. There is a sequential progression of ideas that relate to each other. The content is presented as a cohesive unit and provides the audience with a clear sense of the main idea. The summary is within the required word count.

4. Acceptable 4.35 points

The content is logically organized. The ideas presented relate to each other. The content provides the audience with a clear sense of the main idea. The summary is within a reasonable range of the required word count.

3. Approaching 3.7 points

The content is not adequately organized even though it provides the audience with a sense of the main idea. The summary may not be within a reasonable range of the required word count.

2. Insufficient 3.45 points

An attempt is made to organize the content, but the sequence is indiscernible. The ideas presented are compartmentalized and may not relate to each other; or the summary is widely outside of the required word count.

▼ Mechanics of Writing 2.5 points

Criteria Description

includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, and language use

5. Target 2.5 points

Submission is virtually free of mechanical errors. Word choice reflects well-developed use of practice and content-related language. Sentence structures are varied and engaging.

4. Acceptable 2.18 points

Submission includes some mechanical errors, but they do not hinder comprehension. Variety of effective sentence structures are used, as well as some practice and content-related language.

3. Approaching 1.85 points

Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistent language or word choice is present. Sentence structure is lacking.

2. Insufficient 1.72 points

Surface errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice or sentence construction are used.

1. No Submission 0 points

▼ Documentation of Sources

2.5 points

Criteria Description

citations, footnotes, references, bibliography, etc., as appropriate to assignment and style

5. Target

2.5 points

Sources are completely and correctly documented, as appropriate to assignment and style. Format is free of error.

4. Acceptable

2.18 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, and format is mostly correct.

3. Approaching

1.85 points

Sources are documented, as appropriate to assignment and style, although some key formatting and citation errors are present.

2. Insufficient

1.72 points

Documentation of sources is inconsistent and/or incorrect, as appropriate to assignment and style, with numerous formatting errors.

1. No Submission

0 points

Total 50 points