

▼ Summary of Post-Observation Conference

14 points

Criteria Description

Summary of Post-Observation Conference

5. Target	14 points
Summary substantially addresses the collaboration with the principal mentor and thoroughly describes the post-observation conference with the teacher.	
4. Acceptable	12.18 points
Summary soundly addresses the collaboration with the principal mentor and thoroughly describes the post-observation conference with the teacher.	
3. Approaching	10.36 points
Summary vaguely addresses the collaboration with the principal mentor and thoroughly describes the post-observation conference with the teacher.	
2. Insufficient	9.66 points
Summary inadequately addresses the collaboration with the principal mentor and thoroughly describes the post-observation conference with the teacher.	
1. No Submission	0 points
Not addressed.	

▼ PSEL Standard 6 and Implications for Future Practice

14 points

Criteria Description

PSEL Standard 6 and Implications for Future Practice

5. Target	14 points
Reflection proficiently discusses implications for application as a future practitioner. Elements of PSEL Standard 6 are expertly incorporated into reflection.	
4. Acceptable	12.18 points

Reflection logically discusses implications for application as a future practitioner.
Elements of PSEL Standard 6 are accurately incorporated into reflection.

10.36 points

Reflection inexplicitly discusses implications for application as a future practitioner. Elements of PSEL Standard 6 are vaguely addressed.

9.66 points

Reflection unrealistically discusses implications for application as a future practitioner. Elements of PSEL Standard 6 are inaccurately addressed.

0 points

Not addressed

3.5 points

Criteria Description

Organization

3.5 points

The content is well-organized and logical. There is a sequential progression of ideas that relate to each other. The content is presented as a cohesive unit and provides the audience with a clear sense of the main idea. The summary is within the required word count.

3.05 points

The content is logically organized. The ideas presented relate to each other. The content provides the audience with a clear sense of the main idea. The summary is within a reasonable range of the required word count.

2.59 points

The content is not adequately organized even though it provides the audience with a sense of the main idea. The summary may not be within a reasonable range of the required word count.

2.42 points

An attempt is made to organize the content, but the sequence is indiscernible. The ideas presented are compartmentalized and may not relate to each other; or the summary is widely outside of the required word count.

Criteria Description

includes spelling, punctuation, grammar, and language use

5. Target	3.5 points
Submission is virtually free of mechanical errors. Word choice reflects well-developed use of practice and content-related language. Sentence structures are varied and engaging.	
4. Acceptable	3.05 points
Submission includes some mechanical errors, but they do not hinder comprehension. Variety of effective sentence structures are used, as well as some practice and content-related language.	
3. Approaching	2.59 points
Frequent and repetitive mechanical errors distract the reader. Inconsistent language or word choice is present. Sentence structure is lacking.	
2. Insufficient	2.42 points
Surface errors are pervasive enough that they impede communication of meaning. Inappropriate word choice or sentence construction are used.	
1. No Submission	0 points

Total 35 points