

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Add a section to the 2-3 page paper you submitted in Module 1. For this assignment, in 1-2 pages, address the following: · Identify and describe at least two competing needs impacting your selected healthcare issue/stressor.	25 to >22.0 pts Excellent The response accurately and thoroughly identifies and describes at least two competing needs impacting the healthcare issue/stressor selected.	22 to >19.0 pts Good The response identifies and describes at least two competing needs impacting the healthcare issue/stressor selected.	19 to >17.0 pts Fair The response vaguely or inaccurately identifies and describes two competing needs impacting the healthcare issue/stressor selected.	17 to >0 pts Poor The response vaguely or inaccurately identifies and describes one competing need impacting the healthcare issue/stressor selected or response is missing.	25 pts
· Describe a relevant policy or practice in your organization that may influence your selected healthcare issue/stressor. · Critique the policy for ethical considerations and explain the policy's strengths and challenges in promoting ethics.	30 to >26.0 pts Excellent The response accurately and thoroughly describes a relevant policy or practice in the organization that may influence the healthcare issue/stressor selected. ...The response accurately and thoroughly critiques the policy for ethical considerations and explains in detail the policy's strengths and challenges in promoting ethics.	26 to >23.0 pts Good The response accurately describes a relevant policy or practice in the organization that may influence the healthcare issue/stressor selected. ...The response accurately critiques the policy for ethical considerations and explains the policy's strengths and challenges in promoting ethics.	23 to >20.0 pts Fair The response is vague or inaccurate in describing a relevant policy or practice in the organization that may influence the healthcare issue/stressor selected. ...The response vaguely or inaccurately critiques the policy for ethical considerations and explains the policy's strengths and challenges in promoting ethics.	20 to >0 pts Poor The response is vague and inaccurate in describing a relevant policy or practice in an organization that may influence the healthcare issue/stressor selected or response is missing. ...The response vaguely and inaccurately critiques the policy for ethical considerations and vaguely and inaccurately explains the policy's strengths and challenges in promoting ethics or response is missing.	30 pts
· Recommend one or more policy or practice changes designed to	25 to >22.0 pts Excellent	22 to >19.0 pts Good	19 to >17.0 pts Fair	17 to >0 pts Poor	25 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
balance the competing needs of resources, workers, and patients while addressing any ethical shortcomings of the existing policies. Be specific and provide examples.	The response provides one or more accurate, clear, and thorough recommendations for policy or practice changes designed to balance the competing needs of resources, workers, and patients while addressing any ethical shortcomings of the existing policies. ...Specific and accurate examples are provided.	The response provides one or more recommendations for policy or practice changes designed to balance the competing needs of resources, workers, and patients while addressing any ethical shortcomings of the existing policies. ...Specific examples are provided.	The response vaguely or inaccurately provides one recommendation for policy or practice changes designed to balance the competing needs of resources, workers, and patients while addressing any ethical shortcomings of the existing policies. ...One example is provided.	The response vaguely and inaccurately provides one recommendation for policy or practice changes designed to balance the competing needs of resources, workers, and patients; response vaguely addresses any ethical shortcomings of the existing policies or response is missing. ...Examples are missing.	
Resource Synthesis	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Using proper in-text citations, the response fully integrates at least 2 outside resources and 2 or 3 course-specific resources.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Using proper in-text citations, the response fully integrates at least 2 outside resources and 1 course-specific resource.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Using proper in-text citations, the response minimally integrates outside and course-specific resources.	2 to >0 pts Poor The response does not integrate outside and course-specific resources or no in-text citations are used.	5 pts
Written Expression and Formatting—Paragraph Development and Organization:Paragraphs make clear points that support well-developed ideas, flow logically, and demonstrate continuity of ideas. Sentences are carefully focused—neither long and rambling nor short and lacking substance. A clear and comprehensive purpose statement and	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity. ...A clear and comprehensive purpose statement, introduction, and conclusion are provided, which delineates all required criteria.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 80% of the time. ...Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment are stated but are brief and not descriptive.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 60–79% of the time. ...Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment is vague or off topic.	2 to >0 pts Poor Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity less than 60% of the time. ...No purpose statement, introduction, or conclusion is provided.	5 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
introduction is provided, which delineates all required criteria.					
Written Expression and Formatting—English Writing Standards: Correct grammar, mechanics, and proper punctuation.	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation with no errors.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Contains a few (one or two) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Contains several (three or four) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.	2 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (five or more) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors that interfere with the reader's understanding.	5 pts
Written Expression and Formatting: The paper follows correct APA format for title page, headings, font, spacing, margins, indentations, page numbers, running head, parenthetical/in-text citations, and reference list.	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct APA format with no errors.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Contains a few (one or two) APA format errors.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Contains several (three or four) APA format errors.	2 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (five or more) APA format errors.	5 pts
Total Points: 100					