

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Part 3A: Critical Appraisal of Research Critical Appraisal of Research Conduct a critical appraisal of the four peer-reviewed articles you selected and analyzed by completing the Critical Appraisal Tool Worksheet Template. Be sure to include: · An Evaluation Table	45 to >40.0 pts Excellent The critical appraisal accurately and clearly provides a detailed evaluation table. ...The responses provide a detailed, specific, and accurate evaluation of each of the peer-reviewed articles selected.	40 to >35.0 pts Good The critical appraisal accurately provides an evaluation table. ...The responses provide an accurate evaluation of each of the peer-reviewed articles selected with some specificity.	35 to >31.0 pts Fair The critical appraisal provides an evaluation table that is inaccurate or vague. ...The responses provide an inaccurate or vague evaluation of each of the peer-reviewed articles selected.	31 to >0 pts Poor The critical appraisal provides an evaluation table that is inaccurate and vague or is missing.	45 pts
Part 3B: Evidence-Based Best Practices Evidence-Based Best Practices Based on your appraisal, suggest a best practice that emerges from the research you reviewed. Briefly explain the best practice, justifying your proposal with the selected resources.	35 to >31.0 pts Excellent The responses accurately and clearly suggest a detailed best practice that is fully aligned to the research reviewed. ...The responses accurately and clearly explain in detail the best practice, with sufficient justification of why this represents a best practice in the field. ...The responses provide a complete, detailed, and specific synthesis of the four peer reviewed articles.	31 to >27.0 pts Good The responses accurately suggest a best practice that is adequately aligned to the research reviewed. ...The responses accurately explain the best practice, with adequately justification of why this represents a best practice in the field. ...The responses provide an accurate synthesis of at least one outside resource reviewed on the best practice explained.	27 to >24.0 pts Fair The responses inaccurately or vaguely suggest a best practice that may be aligned to the research reviewed. ...The responses inaccurately or vaguely explain the best practice, with inaccurate or vague justification for why this represents a best practice in the field. ...The responses provide a vague or inaccurate synthesis of outside resources reviewed on the best practice explained.	24 to >0 pts Poor The responses inaccurately and vaguely suggest a best practice that may be aligned to the research reviewed or are missing. ...The responses inaccurately and vaguely explain the best practice, with inaccurate and vague justification for why this represents a best practice in the field or are missing. ...A vague and inaccurate synthesis of no outside resources reviewed on the best practice explained is provided or is missing.	35 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Resource Synthesis	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent The response fully integrates at least two outside resources and two or three course-specific resources that fully support the responses provided.	4 to >3.0 pts Good The response integrates at least one outside resource and two or three course-specific resources that may support the responses provided.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair The response minimally integrates resources that may support the responses provided.	2 to >0 pts Poor The response fails to integrate any resources to support the responses provided.	5 pts
Written Expression and Formatting—Paragraph Development and Organization: Paragraphs make clear points that support well-developed ideas, flow logically, and demonstrate continuity of ideas. Sentences are carefully focused—neither long and rambling nor short and lacking substance. A clear and comprehensive purpose statement and introduction is provided, which delineates all required criteria.	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity. ...A clear and comprehensive purpose statement, introduction, and conclusion are provided, which delineates all required criteria.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 80% of the time. ...Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment are stated but are brief and not descriptive.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity 60–79% of the time. ...Purpose, introduction, and conclusion of the assignment is vague or off topic.	2 to >0 pts Poor Paragraphs and sentences follow writing standards for flow, continuity, and clarity less than 60% of the time. ...No purpose statement, introduction, or conclusion is provided.	5 pts
Written Expression and Formatting—English Writing Standards: Correct grammar, mechanics, and proper punctuation.	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation with no errors.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Contains a few (one or two) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Contains several (three or four) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors.	2 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (five or more) grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors that interfere with the reader's understanding.	5 pts

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Written Expression and Formatting:The paper follows correct APA format for title page, headings, font, spacing, margins, indentations, page numbers, running head, parenthetical/in-text citations, and reference list.	5 to >4.0 pts Excellent Uses correct APA format with no errors.	4 to >3.0 pts Good Contains a few (one or two) APA format errors.	3 to >2.0 pts Fair Contains several (three or four) APA format errors.	2 to >0 pts Poor Contains many (five or more) APA format errors.	5 pts
Total Points: 100					