

Activity	January																		February									
	1	2	3	4	5	8	9	10	11	12	15	16	17	18	19	22	23	24	25	26	29	30	31	1	2	5	6	7
A	6	6	6	6	6																							
B						2	2	2	2	↓																		
C						4	4	4	4	4																		
D						3	3	3	3	3	3				↓													
E										↗	3	3	3	3	3	3												
F											2	2	2	2	2	2												
G											4	4	4	4	4				↓									
H																3	3	3	3	3	3	3						
I																4	4	4	4	4					↓			
J																↗	2	2	2					↓				
K																								5	5	5	5	5
Total	6	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	9	7	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	7	3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5

FIGURE 12.15 Resource-Leveling the Network Table

Adjusting (lagging) one day for either of the alternatives, activities I and J, will reduce the resource requirement to a level below the threshold, suggesting that either of these activities may be used. The earlier heuristic suggested that priority be given to activities with less slack time, so in this example we will leave activity I alone and instead lag the start of activity J by one day. Note that the resource totals summed across the bottom of the table (see Figure 12.15) now show that all activities are set at or below the cutoff level of nine resource hours per day for the project, completing our task. Further, in this example, we were able to resource-level the project without adding additional dates to the project schedule or requiring additional resources; in effect, resource leveling in this example violated neither a resource-constrained nor a time-constrained restriction.

Suppose, however, that our project operated under more stringent resource constraints; for example, instead of a threshold of nine hours per day, what would be the practical effect of resource-leveling the project to conform to a limit of eight hours per day? The challenge to a project manager now is to reconfigure the resource-loading table in such a way that the basic tenet of resource constraint is not violated. In order to demonstrate the complexity of this process, for this example, we will break the decision process down into a series of discrete steps as we load each individual activity into the project baseline schedule (see Table 12.5). Note the

TABLE 12.5 Steps in Resource Leveling

Step	Action
1	Assign Activity A to the resource table.
2	In selecting among Activities B, C, and D, employ the selection heuristic and prioritize C (critical activity) and then B (smallest amount of slack). Load C and B into the resource table. Delay Activity D.
3	On January 12, load Activity D. D had 3 days slack and is loaded four days late. Total delay for Activity D is 1 day.
4	On January 15, load Activities E and F (following completion of B and C). Prioritize F first (critical activity), and then add E. Both activities finish on January 22, so overall critical path schedule is not affected. Total project delay to date = 0.
5	Because of resource constraints, Activity G cannot begin until January 23. G had 3 days slack and is loaded five days late, finishing on January 26. Total delay for Activity G is 2 days.
6	Load Activity H on January 23, following completion of Activities E and F. H is completed on January 31, so overall critical path schedule is not affected. Total project delay to date = 0.
7	Because of resource constraints, Activity I cannot begin until January 29. I is loaded five days late. Total delay for Activity I is 2 days (new finish date = February 2).
8	Because of resource constraints, Activity J cannot begin until February 1. Even with slack time, J is delayed 3 days, completing on February 5.
9	Activity K cannot be loaded until completion of predecessors H, I, and J. K begins on February 6 and completes on February 12. Total project delay = 3 days.