

The Surrender (Sovereign) Paradox- Summary:

A. Power Maximizing Rulers Face an Incentive To Expand Territorial Rule In Order To i(1. increase revenue to fight war- (2. meet the demands of key subjects for more efficient, large-scale institutions like property rights

B. This process establishes her + the institutional outline of the modern Monopoly state

C. Monopoly power over:

1. Order (police)
 2. Law (property rights, etc.)
 3. Standards & legislation
- citizenship

* The Bargaining Hypothesis
Institution / Change (1500-1800)
Is Driven By An Ongoing Game
of Bargaining Between Rulers
And Subjects - This Process Was
Facilitated By Institutions
Called Estates (The Estates)
That Resemble Legislatures, But
Exist To Reaffirm Customs,
"Legalize" The Ruler's Policies
And Raise Tax Revenue

A. The Estates Seek Mutually
Beneficial Bargains Such That
The Benefits $>$ (Exceed) Costs
1. Gains From Trade,
Mutually Beneficial Bargains

I. The Territorial Rule -

Strategies of Power Maximization

A Transfer of clientel-Incentives
To Transfer Cases of Land Order
(Security) From Local Courts To
The Ruler's Courts

1. Habeas Corpus - 1215-1700's

a. From Inquest To Monopoly
of Coercive Power

B Incorporation- Local Institutions
Become Components of The
Ruler's Territorial Bureaucracy
1 Towns, Villages, Tax Collection,
And Conscription

C. Buying Out- Rulers Buy Out
The Autonomy of Rural Institutions

D Coercion As Default Option
1 Aristocratic Resistance (FROM DES)