

APPENDIX A

Rubric for Evaluation of Unit Assignments and Final Paper

Criterion	0.0 – 0.33 Below Average	0.34 – 0.67 Average	0.68 – 1.00 Above Average
<i>Communication</i>			
<i>Originality</i>			
<i>Critical Thinking</i>			
<i>Integration</i>			
<i>Christian Worldview</i>			

Communication evaluates the proper attention to format, grammar, punctuation, and the student's ability to develop thought in an organized structure that exhibits advance level work.

Originality evaluates the attention to proper citing and referencing of source materials and the student's ability to summarize, paraphrase, and effectively quote the work of others, while developing original ideas and thoughts from researched material.

Critical thinking evaluates the exhibited understanding of the process for reasoning and the elements of thought as illustrated in the student's written work.

Integration evaluates the appropriate inclusion of thoughts, ideas, and information from assigned reading in context with the topic, question, or task.

Christian worldview evaluates the appropriateness of referenced Scripture to the topic, question, or task.

APPENDIX B

Essay Discussion Rubric

Total Points	Discussion Rubric
15	Excellent – a contribution that demonstrated sound critical thinking skills in analyzing, evaluating, and or applying substance of the weekly content and was submitted on time.
10	Very Good – a contribution that fell slightly short of the excellent guidelines and may have either been submitted late or left a few points unaddressed from the discussion criteria.
5	Good - a contribution that fell short of the excellent guidelines and may have either been submitted late or left a few points unaddressed from the discussion criteria.
3	Needing Improvement – a contribution that needed additional development in using sound critical thinking skills in analyzing, evaluating, and or applying substance of the weekly content.
1	Unsatisfactory – a contribution that did not reflect the use of sound critical thinking skills in analyzing, evaluating, and or applying substance of the weekly content.
0	Did not submit posts by close of the weekly forum.
<p>Note to student: Please use this rubric to support your response and follow-up replies in the discussion forums. It is necessary also to understand the substance of the discussion question and your responses should reflect applying the learning content up to and including the week of the discussion forum. Personal experience, workplace examples, and scriptural support are always encouraged to support your response. However, it is important to avoid any proprietary information from the workplace.</p> <p>If your score is lower than expected, use the rubric as a guide to evaluate your responses for improvement efforts. If unsure as to what steps to take try:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reading the discussion question thoroughly and repeatedly before replying 2. Including additional depth in your responses 3. Tightening your focus; be more concise 4. Working on the application of content to your workplace or area of ministry, making sure your response is compatible with a sound Christian Worldview 	