

	<b>Exemplary 90-100% A</b>	<b>Proficient 80-89% B</b>	<b>Fair 70-79% C</b>	<b>Meets Minimum Expectations 60-69% D</b>	<b>Unacceptable Below 60% F</b>
<b>1. Defined food insecurity and addressed its connection to population growth.</b>	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining the connection between food insecurity and population growth.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained the connection between food insecurity and population growth.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Defined food security and population growth but did not sufficiently explain the connection between the two.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Defined food security and population growth but did not explain the connection between the two.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not define or connect food security or population growth.
<b>2. Explained other issues that are responsible for food insecurity in a specific developing country.</b>	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining issues that are responsible for food insecurity in a specific developing country.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained issues that are responsible for food insecurity in a specific developing country.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Explained issues that are responsible for food insecurity but did not relate them to a specific developing country.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Defined issues that are responsible for food insecurity but did not relate them to a specific developing country.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not explain issues related to food insecurity as it relates to a specific developing country.
<b>3. Explained the forms of technology that can be used to reduce hunger and improve food security.</b>	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining how technology can be used to reduce hunger and improve food security.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained how technology can be used to reduce hunger and improve food security.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Explained how technology can be used to reduce hunger and improve food security.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Listed forms of technology that can be used to reduce hunger and improve food security, but did not explain how they can do so.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not list or explain how technology can be used to reduce hunger or improve food security.
<b>4. Included an opening paragraph and a conclusion for this section of the whitepaper.</b>	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Included a well thought out opening statement and a conclusion.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Included a sufficient opening and conclusion.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Did not sufficiently include either the opening statement or conclusion.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Missed writing either the opening statement or a conclusion.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Both the opening paragraph and conclusion are missing.
<b>5. Clarity, writing mechanics and formatting</b>	14.85 (13.5%) - 16.5 (15%) 0-2 errors present.	13.2 (12%) - 14.68 (13.35%) 3-4 errors present.	11.55 (10.5%) - 13.04 (11.85%) 5-6 errors present.	9.9 (9%) - 11.38 (10.35%) 7-8 errors present.	0 (0%) - 9.74 (8.85%) More than 8 errors present.
<b>6. Meets five minimum reference/citation requirement.</b>	4.95 (4.5%) - 5.5 (5%) Exceeds minimum reference/citation requirement.	4.4 (4%) - 4.9 (4.45%) Meets minimum reference/citation requirement.	3.85 (3.5%) - 4.34 (3.95%) Properly references citations used in the assessment but does not reference at least 5 citations.	3.3 (3%) - 3.8 (3.45%) Does not properly reference citations used in assessment.	0 (0%) - 2.75 (2.5%) Does not reference any citations in the assessment.