

Grid View

List View

	Exemplary 90-100% A	Proficient 80-89% B	Fair 70-79% C	Meets Minimum Expectations 60-69% D	Unacceptable Below 60% F
1. Defined greenhouse gases and their connection to global warming.	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining the connection between greenhouse gases and global warming.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained the connection between greenhouse gases and global warming.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Defined greenhouse gases and global warming but did not fully explain the connection between the two.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Defined greenhouse gases and global warming but did not explain sufficiently the connection between the two.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not define or connect greenhouse gases or global warming.
2. Explained economic, security, political, and other challenges that emissions pose to the people of the developing world. Listed the major offenders.	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining challenges emissions pose to the economy, security, and politics to the people in the developing world.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained challenges emissions pose to the economy, security, and politics to the people in the developing world.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Explained the challenges emissions pose to the economy, security, and politics to the people in the developing world.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Did not sufficiently explain challenges emissions pose to the economy, security, and politics to the people in the developing world.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not explain the challenges emissions pose to the economy, security, and politics to the people in the developing world.
3. Explored options to control the growth of the population on a global level.	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Exceeded expectations in explaining options to control population on a global level.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Proficiently explained options to control population on a global level.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Explained options to control population on a global level.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Did not sufficiently explain options to control population on a global level.	0 (0%) - 12.98 (11.8%) Did not explain options to control population on a global level.
4. Included an opening paragraph and a conclusion for this section of the whitepaper.	19.8 (18%) - 22 (20%) Included a well thought out opening statement and a conclusion.	17.6 (16%) - 19.58 (17.8%) Included a sufficient opening and conclusion.	15.4 (14%) - 17.38 (15.8%) Did not sufficiently include either the opening statement or conclusion.	13.2 (12%) - 15.18 (13.8%) Missed writing either the opening statement or a conclusion.	0 (0%) - 11 (10%) Both the opening paragraph and conclusion are missing.
5. Clarity, writing mechanics and formatting	14.85 (13.5%) - 16.5 (15%) 0-2 errors present.	13.2 (12%) - 14.68 (13.35%) 3-4 errors present.	11.55 (10.5%) - 13.04 (11.85%) 5-6 errors present.	9.9 (9%) - 11.38 (10.35%) 7-8 errors present.	0 (0%) - 9.74 (8.85%) More than 8 errors present.
6. Meets five minimum reference/citation requirement.	4.95 (4.5%) - 5.5 (5%) Exceeds minimum reference/citation requirement	4.4 (4%) - 4.9 (4.45%) Meets minimum reference/citation requirement	3.85 (3.5%) - 4.34 (3.95%) Properly references citations used in the assessment but does not reference at least 5 citations.	3.3 (3%) - 3.8 (3.45%) Does not properly reference citations used in assessment.	0 (0%) - 2.75 (2.5%) Does not reference any citations in the assessment.