

page.com/  
offer a variety of resources,  
y crime and crime control

peer-reviewed criminology

antage to themselves by their  
ig alternatives. These decisions  
id the availability of relevant

acting rationally, in a perfectly  
ormation, and are therefore at  
a thousand statistical models  
nce, which had been acted out  
iture. The near collapse of the  
is demonstrable evidence that  
mise – as it turned out, prices  
ar beyond rational levels by  
han models based on post-war

s critics (see, for example, the  
special edition of *Theoretical*  
9–74]). We hope that, just as  
ier *Seductions of Crime* and set  
nd Hayward, 2000; Hayward,  
ommence with *How Emotions*

form of resistance to intimate  
ecessarily constitute a victory.  
uality' (2007: 210).

## 4

# A CULTURAL CRIMINOLOGY OF THE EVERYDAY

### Everyday crimes small and large

Cultural criminologists often focus their analytic gaze on those little situations, circumstances and crimes that make up everyday life. Cultural criminology looks for evidence of globalization not only in the wide sweep of transcontinental capitalism, but amidst the most local of situations and common of transgressions. It finds the machinery of mass culture in even the most private of moments, discovers the residues of mediated meaning in even the smallest snippets of conversation. In our view, the essential subject matter of criminology – the manufacture of meaning around issues of crime, transgression and control – remains an ongoing enterprise, an often unnoticed process that seeps into commonplace perceptions and saturates day-to-day interactions.

To understand the ways in which issues of crime, transgression and control come to be animated with emotion, then, we certainly must pay attention to televised crime dramas and political campaigns – but we also must pay attention to the people around us and to their constructions of experience and understanding. Most of all, in a world where information and entertainment swirl through everyday life, emanating from countless video screens and cell phones, we can – we must – find mediated politics in personal experience. Watching people on the street, we can catch little shadows of last night's television crime drama, and all those that came before it; listening in on conversations in a pub, we can hear echoes of a politician's press conference at one table, distortions of the daily news at another. Encountering on the street or in the pub some commonplace crime, we can come to appreciate the extraordinary importance of crime itself.

Cultural criminology's critics are aware of this focus on the everyday as well, but they sometimes see in it something more insidious. For them, the focus on everyday people and everyday crimes can suggest that cultural criminologists are unwilling to take on larger, more important issues of crime and its political

consequences. Martin O'Brien (2005: 610), for example, concludes his critique of cultural criminology by juxtaposing cultural criminologists' research into crimes like 'graffiti writing or riding a motorcycle recklessly' with the mercenary crimes of Mark Thatcher. The mollycoddled son of former British Prime Minister the late Margaret Thatcher, Mark Thatcher has indeed been accused of crimes ranging from racketeering and tax evasion to negligence, arms dealing and an attempted coup d'état – crimes that critics like O'Brien assume to be objectively and self-evidently of greater significance than more mundane forms of criminality.

Yet, while we're certainly happy to judge the behaviour of a spoiled underling like Mark Thatcher to be morally odious, we're nonetheless left with a serious criminological question: By what terms can we judge his crimes to be more important, or more worthy of our analysis, than the crimes of the lesser known? Put differently, is it the exceptionality of a crime that should draw our analytic attention or the banality? Is a father's abuse of his daughter more or less troubling, more or less revealing of power and its dynamics, than the abuse of American prison inmates, or Guantanamo detainees, locked away for years in solitary confinement? Iraqi citizens killed by one daily bombing after another; African-American kids swept off the street by civil gang injunctions and stop-and-frisk procedures; British kids silenced or segregated by curfews, banning orders and Crime Prevention Injunctions; Central American children fleeing through Mexico on *el tren de los desconocidos*, the train of the unknown – are these often unnoticed, everyday occurrences more or less important to understanding and confronting injustice than the crimes of Mark Thatcher?

For cultural criminologists, *these aren't questions that can be answered definitively – they can only be interrogated culturally. The difference between one crime and another is negotiated, not innate – in the final analysis, more a matter of contested meaning than inherent magnitude.*

In fact, this negotiation of meaning, this fluid dynamic between the everyday and the exceptional, can be traced along any number of trajectories. As we and other criminologists have long documented, the criminalization process – the process by which new legal regulations are created and new enforcement strategies designed – can transform the most mundane of existing activities into major crimes and the exotica of moral panic. Trash picking, idling about in public, searching for a place to sleep, dancing with friends, getting drunk or getting high – all of these have, at various times in recent British, European and American history, been either matters of little consequence or manifestations of serious criminality. And if this criminalization process at times infuses otherwise little events with large significance, it regularly invokes larger patterns of political and cultural power as well, emerging as it does from media campaigns, staged political pronouncements and the exercise of economic and ethnic inequality. In such cases, to study everyday transgression, or more specifically to study the emergent

le, concludes his critique  
inologists' research into  
cklessly' with the merce-  
of former British Prime  
as indeed been accused  
o negligence, arms deal-  
s like O'Brien assume to  
ce than more mundane

ur of a spoiled underling  
reless left with a serious  
his crimes to be more  
nes of the lesser known?  
ould draw our analytic  
ghter more or less trou-  
nics, than the abuse of  
ocked away for years in  
bombing after another;  
g injunctions and stop-  
d by curfews, banning  
merican children fleeing  
of the unknown – are  
ess important to under-  
ark Thatcher?

*be answered definitively –  
en one crime and another  
tter of contested meaning*

c between the everyday  
trajectories. As we and  
tialization process – the  
ew enforcement strate-  
ng activities into major  
idling about in public,  
unk or getting high – all  
and American history,  
ns of serious criminal-  
otherwise little events  
as of political and cul-  
paigns, staged political  
ric inequality. In such  
to study the emergent

and often amplified meaning of everyday transgression, is to study the political economy of power.

If we return to the crimes of Mark Thatcher, we can catch a different sort of trajectory and one that suggests a further dynamic linking mundane events and larger matters of meaning. A direct, cultural criminological analysis of Mark Thatcher's crimes would certainly be useful and important. Such an analysis might explore the ways in which neo-conservative values of individual responsibility and personal acquisition in fact set the stage for personal misbehaviour among those who most publicly espouse them. It might examine the ways in which the political privilege of the parent begets the cultural privileging of the offspring, opening doors to inside deals and ensuring endless irresponsibility. It might even focus on the linguistic sleight of hand offered up by expensive lawyers and top-flight public relations consultants such that the misdeeds of the powerful can, time and again, be obfuscated, reinvented, ignored – and so *not* constructed as crime.

#### Strategic and moral choices

To conduct this sort of analysis well, though – to actually get inside the gilded shadows that make up the world of Mark Thatcher, as opposed to relying on newspaper accounts and popular mythology – would require the sorts of money and connections that few of us have. It would perhaps require travelling in social circles that some of us find uncomfortable, if not repugnant. Most importantly, it would risk valorizing the very phenomenon we wish to analyse and critique – risk reproducing in our own research and writing, that is, the mediated gaze already fixed on the Mark Thatchers of the world – as we focus too much of our attention on those all too well positioned to capture it. Again, and despite these pitfalls, such a study could make an invaluable contribution to our understanding of political and corporate crime and its consequences – but there is, we think, another way to conduct the study.

That way is to track down Mark Thatcher in the streets and alleys of everyday life, to document his crimes and their consequences in moments more readily available to us. When, for example, wealthy entrepreneurs like Mark Thatcher engage in racketeering and tax evasion, we can effectively explore the consequences of such crimes in the growing gap between the rich and poor of our communities, in decimated local economies and shuttered factories, in underfunded local schools and inadequate healthcare (see Hedges and Sacco, 2012) – and so in street-level drug markets and untreated drug overdoses, in everyday school vandalism and violence, and in the Mertonian strain towards theft or despair encountered by unemployed or under-employed folks all around us. When a global hustler like Mark Thatcher dabbles in the arms trade and in political upheaval, we and our colleagues in other countries can catch up to him

at every orphanage, can see the consequences of his actions in a severed limb or a lost life. Like the great documentary photographer W. Eugene Smith, who famously captured the horrific effects of systematic corporate mercury poisoning in the close-up deformities of one little girl, we can communicate the crimes of the powerful by way of their everyday consequences.

In this sense, cultural criminology's focus on the everyday constitutes something of a strategic choice. For some cultural criminologists, the ethnography of everyday life has offered a do-it-yourself research method kept happily independent of university grant programmes, governmental funding agencies and other outside influences likely to limit the critical scope of scholarly work. Hanging out on street corners, conducting interviews behind commercial buildings, calling up public officials or utilizing 'follow-the-money' software, researchers need ask neither for permission nor for money – and so they can follow their findings wherever they may go, unencumbered by funding concerns or official sanction. Certainly, critical scholarship can flow from any number of research strategies – but it flows with particular ease from methods that cast the researcher as an independent outsider. And if this sort of research keeps cultural criminologists outside the orbit of bureaucratic control, it also has the benefit of keeping them inside communities. Research on crime and transgression within everyday life tends to integrate the researcher into the local community, putting the researcher in touch with the lives of ordinary people and yet offering often extraordinary insights into the dynamics of those lives and communities.

In this process of human engagement, cultural criminology's focus on everyday life moves beyond strategic choice and towards moral and theoretical foundation. Attempting to understand the meaning of crime and the dynamics through which this meaning is manufactured, cultural criminology draws on a constellation of theoretical orientations attuned to everyday experience. Among these are symbolic interactionism, with its emphasis on the daily transactions by which individuals create, sustain and contest shared meaning; phenomenology, with its attentiveness to the intricate and distinctive features of everyday experience; and ethnomethodology, fine-tuned to the reflexively 'elegant knowledge' (Mehan and Wood, 1975: 117) that animates commonplace situations and events. Certainly, these rich theoretical models merit more than these few lines (Lindgren, 2005), but for present purposes we can derive from them a shared insight: *the social world cannot be understood apart from the agency of those who occupy it*. While of course working within profound limitations of political and economic exclusion, everyday people are nonetheless neither 'judgmental dopes' (Garfinkel, 1967: 67) nor calculating machines; they are agents of social reality, active interpreters of their own lives. Watching television crime news, fearing for our own safety, deciding whether to fix that broken backdoor lock, we make do as best we can – and as we do, we continue to make sense of the world around us and so to contribute to its collective construction.

Cultural criminology's focus on everyday life becomes in this way also a form of moral politics that we might call *critical humanism*. By 'humanism', we mean simply a scholarly and moral commitment to inquire into people's lived experiences, both collective and individual (see Wilkinson, 2005). By 'critical', we mean two things. First, critical humanism signifies a willingness to critique that which we study, to unpack even the most dearly held and elegantly argued of assumptions. Put differently, a commitment to engage with people on their own terms does not mean that those terms need be uncritically accepted; appreciating the human construction of meaning, we may still judge that meaning to be inadequate, not in terms of some moral absolutism, but out of concern for what harm that constructed meaning may cause others. Second, and relatedly, critical humanism denotes an inquiry into human experience within a larger project of critique and analysis. As already suggested in the case of Mark Thatcher, we intend for cultural criminology not only to give voice to everyday accounts seldom heard, but to gather those voices into a chorus of condemnation for broad structures of violence, inequality and exploitation. Again, the hope is to overcome the dualism of agency and structure, to link the ordinary and the exceptional and to discard the false dichotomy between crimes large and small.

Through this work, we hope also to affirm the possibilities for progressive social change within the practice of everyday life. Those eager to distinguish large crimes from small are often eager as well to distinguish large-scale political engagement from the less consequential politics of daily life. For them, Mark Thatcher matters more than other criminals precisely because he and his family matter more politically. And indeed they do – but sometimes they don't. Sometimes progressive social change does indeed emerge from the ballot box or the mass movement, from utilizing millions of voters or millions of marchers to confront structures of social injustice. But sometimes progressive social change percolates in the little moments of everyday experience, as one small act of resistance to the daily routine, to the micro-circuits of social control, sets the stage for the next. Our tendency, then, is to believe that the future remains unwritten, to remember that revolutions often explode when least expected, and so to celebrate progressive moments where we find them. If the everyday remains a primary site for the enforcement of injustice, it remains a place of hope and resistance, too.

### A day in the life

What follows is true fiction – a compilation of everyday situations and events extant in the contemporary world, here fictionalized into a single, integrated narrative. It's also a test, of you and of us. If we've managed so far to communicate

some sense of the everyday and its importance, some sense of how transgression and control animate everyday life, the following account should glow with meaning as you make your way through it. In it you should discover many little windows into the unnoticed politics of day-to-day crime and control, and more than a few clues as to what shape a cultural criminology of everyday life might take. But in case we've failed so far, or in case you're about to, we'll even provide a guide. Each time you see an italicized phrase in the following narrative, you can be sure that there's more to be said about the situation it describes – and before we do say more later, you might consider for yourself what importance some inconsequential moment, some simple situation of everyday constraint or transgression, might have for a cultural criminology of our lives.

'Ah, man', he says to himself. 'I gotta get those damned curtains fixed.'

The alarm's not set to go off for another 20 minutes and the daylight streaming in through the window where the curtains hang loose has awakened him. Waking up, it still seems more like a dream for a moment, what with the morning light coming in between the decorative *black iron burglar bars*, filling the room with long shadows like some late-modern film noir.

Hell, he figures, I'm awake. I may as well get up. Switching off the security system, he opens the front door and heads out to pick up the morning newspaper, only to find that the *ADT Security sign* planted in his front yard has been knocked over. He stoops over to get the paper, stoops again to right the sign and heads back inside.

Since the divorce, he doesn't bother much with breakfast, so after a quick bath and a cup of coffee, he gets into his business suit and heads off for a brisk walk to catch the train into the city. Arriving at the station, realizing he left his newspaper at home, *he uses his credit card to buy another paper* and a second cup of coffee and heads to the platform. Head buried in the morning paper, reading the crime news, he doesn't notice the *CCTV cameras* mounted on either end of the platform.

When he gets tired of standing and retreats to one of the few benches on the platform, though, he does notice something. *Didn't these damn benches used to be more comfortable?* Crossing and uncrossing his legs, moving from side to side, he can barely keep from sliding off as he reads the paper and waits for the train.

Once on the train, finding a seat, settling in, looking up, he notices across the aisle a young woman, half his age, maybe mid-20s. When she catches his glance he looks away, but he can't help but look back. *She's dressed in a Middle Eastern-style head scarf, sleeveless Che Guevara t-shirt over a tactical corset, long cylinder necklace, short black skirt, torn fishnet stockings and knee-high hiking boots; her arms are covered in Maori tribal tattoos. He finds her as much curious as he does attractive; now looking back at her a third time, he even finds himself a bit uneasy.* And when she catches his glance once again, frowns and shifts in her seat, he's uneasy still.

Turning to look out the train window, he's able to avoid further embarrassment, but not further discomfort. The train's getting nearer the city and the sprawl of graffiti writing on the low walls bordering the tracks is increasing. He's noticed this before, he remembers, but he still doesn't understand it: *all this wildly unreadable*

ow transgression  
ould glow with  
cover many little  
ontrol, and more  
ryday life might  
e'll even provide  
g narrative, you  
describes – and  
what importance  
lay constraint or  
s.

ked.'  
light streaming  
ed him. Waking  
ning light com-  
oom with long

security system,  
spaper, only to  
locked over. He  
ds back inside.  
er a quick bath  
a brisk walk to  
his newspaper  
p of coffee and  
he crime news,  
platform.

benches on the  
ches used to be  
to side, he can  
rain.

ices across the  
ches his glance  
Middle Eastern-  
t, long cylinder  
boots; her arms  
does attractive;  
asy. And when  
's uneasier still.  
mbarrassment,  
sprawl of graf-  
e's noticed this  
ldly unreadable

graffiti along the tracks, sometimes even on the bridges and control towers above the tracks. Maybe it's the graffiti, or the girl in the odd outfit, or the crime news in the newspaper, but he's feeling unsettled, unsure of himself, even a little angry.

Turning back, he's careful not to look over at the young woman, but no need; she got off the train while he was looking out of the window, at the previous stop, the one just a quarter of a mile before his.

In fact, by now she's already made her way through the train station and out into the street. As she walks towards her job, she's a bit annoyed herself, thinking about the jerk on the train, the one who kept staring at her, but she smiles when she thinks about the cylinder necklace swinging from her neck as she walks. It's her favourite, the one her mother gave her for dealing with guys like him: the *Pepperface Palm Defender pepper spray necklace*. Really, she'd have been happy with the plain silver one, or even the 18-carat gold version, but it was her birthday, so her mother got her the top of the line: the one encrusted with Swarovski crystals – and besides, it looks cool with the Che shirt.

Since she started working at the Starbucks last year, she's walked this route hundreds of times, and to fight off the boredom and the dread of getting to work she's made a game of seeing how many different graffiti tags she can spot. She's seen some of them so many times, on so many walls and alleyways, she feels like she knows the writers who leave them. *Scanning one alley for tags as she cuts through it, she notices two broken second-floor windows and laughs to herself – side by side like that, with the big jagged holes in each one, they look like two big bloodshot eyes staring back at her.* And come to think of it, after last night's binge-drinking session down at the pub, her eyes are probably a little bloodshot, too; once she gets to work, she tells herself, she'll grab a coffee while the manager isn't looking.

She checks her watch, afraid she'll be late for work again, and picks up her pace. Problem is, she used to have a couple of shortcuts – through the park by the school and then cutting between the grocery store and the *Skeletons in the Closet shop* – but now the park gate stays locked most days and somebody has planted a prickly hedge between the two shops.

By the time she gets to work – 10 minutes late – the guy from the train has already been at his desk for 20 minutes, having grabbed a cab out front of his train station. He's hard at the first task of the day, the first task of every work day: clearing emails from his inbox. *Pornographic come-ons, African money transfers, spurious bank requests for credit card information* – the company's spam filter isn't working very well. But what the hell, he thinks, while checking out a couple of the porn websites and deleting emails, *the company monitors employee keystrokes as a way of checking up on productivity*, so for all they know I'm working. What he doesn't know is that *the company also monitors and tracks web usage*, and as the corporate data log shows, this isn't his first visit to a porn site on company time.

Not that he really cares. Since the company began downsizing operations and exporting positions overseas, he's figured it's only a matter of time until his position goes as well. It's bad enough sitting in front of a computer all day, *bored out of your mind*, without having to worry about whether you'll be back to do it tomorrow. Deep in debt as well, he's starting to feel more than a bit lost. No wife, one of these days no job, nothing but bills to pay – makes a man miss the good times, *like that*

(Continued)

(Continued)

last holiday they had before the divorce. His daughter had wanted to go to Walt Disney World to check out the new MyMagic+ system, but instead they decided to holiday in Spain, where the tour guides kidnapped them and marched them into the mountains of Andalusia. He enjoyed it so much he's already planning next year's holiday – even if he has to go by himself – and he has it narrowed down to the LA Gang Tour, the Guerrilla Trek in Nepal or Jonestown in Guyana.

Daydreaming about Andalusia and Guyana and deleting unsolicited emails kills off the first hour of work, filling out the online forms for the company's upcoming internal audit kills another, and now it's time for a mid-morning coffee break. Before the downsizing started, there was always a pot of coffee down in the break room; you put a few coins in the donation jar and got yourself a cup of coffee, maybe had a chat with a colleague.

These days he goes to the Starbucks across the street from the office, but just for a change he decides to walk to the Starbucks a block over. Bad decision, since the young woman in the Che t-shirt works behind the counter there – except that she too has clocked out for a quick mid-morning break. No Starbucks coffee for her – she needs something stronger. She's looking for Cocaine.

'Hey', she says to the clerk in the little convenience store in the next block, walking back towards the checkout counter from the cold case. 'Where's the Cocaine?'

'We're out', he tells her, his accent betraying his Iraqi heritage. 'Since they had it on *The Daily Show* we can't keep it in stock. Should have some tomorrow, sorry.'

'OK, well, thanks', and she's headed back to work – may as well just sneak another cup of company coffee.

His trip to Starbucks hasn't gone any better. Sure, he got his usual – double decaff latte – and it was as good as the one he gets at the other Starbucks; identical, in fact. But then he went over to the little park across the street to sit and enjoy it, and ... damn it, another butt-buster of a bench. Is there no decent place to sit left in this city?

Then, shifting uncomfortably on the bench and slurping his latte, all hell breaks loose. *This guy in a car tosses some fast food out into the street. A female bike courier grabs the food and tosses it back in, yelling 'don't litter in my neighbourhood'. He jumps out, throws two coffees at her and now he's stomping on her bike and they start scuffling. Some guys come off the sidewalk and pull him off of her. Meanwhile, another dude with a camera just keeps shooting – but when he tries to photograph the car's licence plate, the guy from the car opens the trunk, grabs a baseball bat and charges him.*

Christ, he thinks to himself, the whole damn world is falling apart. *It's like that film with Michael Douglas, you know, where he's lost his job and people are messing with him and trying to rob him and ... what's the name of that film?*

Back at the office he Googles for a while, trying to track down the film title – more Internet misuse for the company log. Giving up, he begrudgingly gets back to the audit forms, which drag him through the afternoon in a sort of slow death march towards quitting time.

At the Starbucks, a steady stream of latte and espresso orders has dragged her through the afternoon as well, but with at least a bit of excitement. Around 3.00 pm she got suspicious of two girls lingering near the counter; one of them had an open book bag and it looked to her like they were trying to shoplift a coffee press. *So she*

ed to go to Walt Disney  
y decided to holiday in  
7 into the mountains of  
r's holiday – even if he  
ang Tour, the Guerrilla

olicited emails kills off  
any's upcoming inter-  
fee break. Before the  
the break room; you  
coffee, maybe had a

ne office, but just for  
d decision, since the  
re – except that she  
s coffee for her – she

next block, walking  
the Cocaine?  
'Since they had it  
omorrow, sorry.'  
I just sneak another

ual – double decaff  
s; identical, in fact.  
d enjoy it, and ...  
sit left in this city?  
his latte, all hell  
e street. A female  
in my neighbour-  
mping on her bike  
ull him off of her.  
t when he tries to  
ne trunk, grabs a

t. It's like that film  
messing with him

film title – more  
gets back to the  
ow death march

as dragged her  
round 3.00 pm  
m had an open  
ee press. So she

and the assistant manager went out from behind the counter, smiling and asking the girls if they could help them with anything and then kept chatting with them until they left the store. And as the girls left, she noticed something odd: a little black orb attached to the other girl's LED-covered purse. Odd indeed – but not as odd as that day last month when a bunch of people walked in and just started taking fruit and muffins off the counter and eating them, like it was a picnic or something, without even attempting to pay.

Work day now over, she's walking back to the train station when she notices that the FCUK (French Connection UK) clothing store has changed its display window since she walked past this morning. It now features skinny female mannequins, dressed in the latest FCUK designs, shoving and throwing punches at each other.

Backing away from the window to get a better look at the tableau of stylized sex and violence, she bumps into someone behind her and turns around.

Son of a bitch, it's that jerk from the train this morning! The FCUK window fresh in her mind, scared and mad that maybe he's been stalking her all day, a bit embarrassed for bumping into him, she reaches for her Peppercorn pepper spray necklace, fumbles with it for a moment, gets her finger onto the spray button – but by this time he's backedpedalling, arms out, palms up, saying, 'sorry, sorry' – and in a flash he's disappeared into the crowd on the sidewalk.



Plate 4.1 FCUK shop window, Canterbury, England

Credit: Photographs by Jeff Ferrell and Keith Hayward (2006)

(Continued)

(Continued)

Disappeared into the crowd indeed – he couldn't be more mortified. To be honest, yeah, he'd been fantasizing a little while looking at those sexy mannequins in the FCUK window, and then all of a sudden that girl from the train – a real girl, the real girl he had checked out on the train this morning – was right in his face. Very weird moment, very scary, very embarrassing – and it wasn't the first odd moment on the walk back to the train station from work. *There was also that bus stop shelter with the odd words and images in place of the usual advertisements.*

Calming down, getting to the train station, checking the organizer on his phone, he's reminded that Friday is his ex-wife's birthday; their daughter lives with her, so he likes to stay in touch. Early for the train, he dips into the Hallmark card shop in the arcade next door. Browsing the cards, he can't find one he likes, but then he spots a card that, well, just seems perfect for her, for their fucked-up relationship and for the day he's had. 'So, anyway, I'm standing in line to buy a freakin' birthday card', the cover of the card begins, 'and the line is like seventeen billion people long'.

After a few more verses of this, the cover concludes with 'and I just really hope you like this card'.

And then when you open the card, inside it says, 'Cause I stole it. Happy Birthday'.

That gets him laughing a little and on the train ride home he relaxes and realizes he's hungry, so he buys a prawn sandwich and a Coke – but, he thinks to himself, I'd better not let that vegan punk daughter of mine know about the sandwich. *According to her, even a prawn sandwich is a crime.*

So when he gets off the train at his local station, he's careful to toss the uneaten half of the sandwich and the Coke can into the station trash receptacle – and *not five minutes later one of the many dishevelled folks who hang around the edges of the station is just as careful to extricate from the trash the half sandwich, the Coke can – and a brand new designer scarf that someone's thrown away.*

On the walk home he's still thinking about his daughter – worrying about her, that is. It's bad enough she got arrested last year for yelling animal-rights slogans at the McDonald's assistant manager; now she's in trouble at school as well. Last month, *the school counsellor advised him and his ex-wife that their daughter seems driven to take risks, to push her own limits, to test herself and her teachers.* The counsellor even told them that the school psychologist had diagnosed his daughter as suffering from ODD, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, a pathological disregard for school and parental authority. Wait a minute, he thinks to himself, maybe that explains the girl on the train, the one who confronted him in front of the FCUK window – maybe she has ODD, too. But back to his daughter: after he heard all this from the school counsellor, he got so concerned that he bought his daughter a new cell phone, one with a GPS device so he could track her movements. But that only ended up worrying him more – one time he tracked her down across town at some sort of illegal street race. One of these days, he worries to himself, I'm going to find her with that bunch of damn 'chavs' that hangs out down at the end of the road.

Home now, he heats some leftovers and plops down in his big easy chair, surfing TV channels while he eats. *It's the same old stuff – murder mysteries, police procedurals, surveillance camera compilations* – but it keeps him occupied for a couple of hours anyway.

So, at home a off to sl film noi life. It's bench mourne dressed ered in from a the coff Excep next to marijua with he univers Starbu In fa major. of wall, America the life

## Interro

Just ano work; a l ing of a big polic story, ar crime ar mundar

Or is i story in story – fact reve we look to be co someth social co these fo turn cut and the

So, after taking two of those sleeping pills they advertise on TV, reactivating the home alarm system, setting his bedside alarm and falling into bed, he's able to drift off to sleep easily enough. But then the nightmare comes. It's not that half-awake film noir moment he had this morning; it's worse – a surreal mashup of a day in the life. It's some kind of funeral, with everyone sitting around the coffin on tiny, hard benches. Fast-food wrappers and coffee cups cover the ground, cover the feet of the mourners, crawl up their legs. And there's his daughter, away from everyone else, dressed in a head scarf and a long shiny necklace. But wait a minute, now he's covered in coffee cups and he's in the coffin, his head split clean in two by the blow from a baseball bat. And the girl from the train, she's dead too, lying next to him in the coffin.

Except she's not dead. She made it home just like he did and now she's asleep next to her girlfriend. They went to bed early. Just out after doing six months on a marijuana possession charge, her girlfriend has to make an 8.00 am appointment with her parole supervisor, and she has her 9.00 am cultural criminology class at university. She's majoring in criminology and helping to pay tuition with the Starbucks job – though she's still managing to run up one hell of a student loan debt.

In fact, she's dreaming too, her dream mixing images of her girlfriend and her major. *In the dream she's reaching for her girlfriend, trying to pull her through some sort of wall, but she can't keep her grip and she fades away, receding into the innards of the American penal gulag, disappearing into the million other prisoners for whom a day in the life is something very different indeed.*

## Interrogating the everyday

Just another day in the life of two people making their way between home and work; a little unpleasantness here and there, some petty criminality – but nothing of any importance, no real violence, no crimes of politics or passion, no big police crime sweep through a dangerous neighbourhood. So to tell such a story, and to pay attention to it, is to stay safely away from the big issues of crime and social control, tucked securely inside the cultural minutiae of the mundane.

Or is it? A cultural criminology of the story – that is, an interrogation of the story in search of meaning – reveals something more. Carefully considered, this story – constructed, it will be recalled, only from existing everyday events – in fact reveals global shifts and historical trends monumental in their meaning. If we look carefully, we can see the ways in which transgression increasingly comes to be commodified and contained within late-capitalist economies. We can see something of social control and the way in which contemporary mechanisms of social control are morphed, masked, coded and reinvented. We can notice that these forms of social control, already troublesome in their insidiousness, are in turn cut and mixed with emerging patterns of legal containment and surveillance – and then, for good measure, marketed to the public under the guise of public

safety, even freedom of choice. If we look carefully enough, we may even see the future – and decide, as have others, to confront and change it.

### ***Black iron burglar bars, ADT Security sign***

From the view of cultural criminology, burglar bars and home security systems provide more than a hardened home target; they offer evidence of the pervasive, politically useful late-modern fear of victimization by outsiders and invaders. They also provide everyday evidence of the billion-dollar home security industries that promote, and profit from, precisely this fear (Hayward, 2004: 128–37; Goold et al., 2010).

Home security signs, burglar bars, high fences and other domestic fortifications also become signs in another sense, constructing the home as a text to be 'read' by neighbours, passers-by and potential intruders (Pow, 2013). The 'angry lawns' and armed homesteads that Mike Davis (1990) documents betray the modernist mythology of domesticity, of the home as a pleasant refuge from the dislocated stress of everyday life.

And yet even fear and fortification are not without their aesthetics. In the USA, home security businesses seldom advertise 'burglar bars'; instead, they advertise and sell *decorative* burglar bars that can, as one real estate website notes, 'enhance, or at least not detract from, the appearance of the home' ([www.real-estate-agents.com](http://www.real-estate-agents.com)).

### ***He uses his credit card to buy another paper***

Under the coordinated corporate conditions of late modernity, the simplest of credit card purchases adds information to a massive, integrated web of databases harbouring information on consumer preferences, population movement and personal habits. Significantly, such databases not only enable corporate surveillance of individuals, but accumulate information tapped by legal authorities as part of the 'war on terror' or other social control campaigns. As Katja Franko Aas (2006) has shown, even the human body becomes a source of information and surveillance under such conditions, what with DNA databases, biometric passports and mandatory drug testing (Tunnell, 2004).

Nathan Garvis, vice president of government affairs at Target, one of America's largest retailers, has offered an unusually candid account of the logic underlying this surveillance process. Assigned by Target to explore possibilities for helping the criminal justice system become more efficient, Garvis came to realize that tracking criminals 'was really an inventory-management problem'. So, tapping into Target's already widespread affiliations with law enforcement, the company donated tracking technology and began to take 'a lead role in teaching government agencies how to fight crime by applying state-of-the-art technology used

in its 1,400 stores'. The company also 'donates' its employees to law enforcement agencies, provides money to prosecutor's offices, coordinates police undercover operations and does extensive pro bono work for local, state, federal and international law enforcement agencies. Much of this work occurs at the company's own state-of-the-art forensics lab – 'one of the nation's top forensics labs', according to FBI Special Agent Paul McCabe. Target likewise runs a 'Safe City' programme that 'uses video and computer equipment to help police patrol neighbourhoods by remote control, coordinated with security workers at participating businesses' (Bridges, 2006: A1).

Seamlessly interweaving inventory control with social control, Target translates both the ideology and technology of corporate consumerism into the practice of late-modern policing. And just as distinctions between product and person are lost, so are the rights of the accused and the presumption of innocence, with Target apparently feeling no compulsion to make similar donations to defence attorneys, public defenders or databases tracking the wrongly convicted. 'Fascism' isn't a word we use lightly – but certainly this is late-modern fascism, consumer fascism, an incestuous integration of corporate control and political authority in the interest of tracking individuals and constructing cities safe for consumption.

Soon, perhaps, Target's databases will even be able to make the consumers run on time.

### **CCTV cameras**

The UK is the undisputed leader in urban closed-circuit television provision, or CCTV, with more surveillance cameras in the UK than in the rest of Europe combined. As a result, many people in the UK accept the intrusion of panoptic camera technology into everyday life. Likewise, the small US city of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, is watched over by some 165 CCTV cameras, themselves run by a private group and monitored by civilians. 'Years ago, there's no way we could do this', says the town's police chief. 'It's just funny how Americans have softened on these issues.' Adds a local business owner: 'There's nothing wrong with instilling fear' (Drogin, 2009: A1, A11). Many US stores employ cameras to track and manipulate consumer behaviour and US cities employ gunshot-detection systems (that also pick up public conversations). Canadian airports are now equipped with microphones to record travellers' conversations, while in cities like Baltimore and San Francisco, transit authorities are quietly rolling out microphone-enabled surveillance systems on public buses that give them the ability to record and store private conversations. In Brazil, school uniforms embedded with computer chips now track students in and out of school.

With CCTV and other surveillance technologies seeping into virtually every aspect of day-to-day life, though, a cultural transformation is taking place; surveillance

is becoming not just commonplace and acceptable, but at times cool, fashionable, even aspirational. CCTV is now used by everyone from artists (see the surveillance-inspired works of Julia Scher, Kyle McDonald and Marko Peljhan) to advertisers (a recent jeans promotion asked, 'You are on a video camera 10 times a day. Are you dressed for it?'), restaurant and bar designers and prime-time TV shows.

Here, we see an emerging relationship between society and surveillance that transcends sensations of safety and reassurance associated with established security products, while consigning civil liberty anxieties to the obsolescent register of early modernity. This is a world where *Big Brother* is only ironic and *Real World* just unreal, a world where non-stop surveillance becomes a source of pleasure, profit and entertainment.

Yet, while cameras may add a sense of voyeuristic or performative satisfaction to the 'lifestyle' social environment, they alone cannot build closely-knit communities or vibrant, pluralistic public spaces. On this point, it is interesting to reflect on the differing approaches to CCTV in the UK and continental Europe. In the UK, CCTV is typically the first step in attempting to 'galvanize' run-down communities, an approach that illustrates the extent to which regulation has been resituated as 'community', or more accurately a form of sanitized inclusion. Meanwhile, in countries like Italy and Spain, where community and family ties remain strong in regional towns, the demand for surveillance is almost non-existent.

***Didn't these damn benches used to be more comfortable?***

Yes, they did. As part of the CPTED (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design) strategy in the USA and similar strategies of spatial crime control in the UK and elsewhere, public spaces are regularly remade as less comfortable and less welcoming to those who might linger, or loiter, or fail to consume, or commit a public offence. These strategies include rebuilding public seating in ways that disincline long-term sitting and prevent reclining, and installing ground spikes and sprinkler systems to banish homeless folks and rough sleepers. In this way, ideologies of control, surveillance and exclusion come to be built, quite literally, into the everyday environment.

***She's dressed in a Middle Eastern-style head scarf, sleeveless Che Guevara t-shirt over a tactical corset, long cylinder necklace, short black skirt, torn fishnet stockings and knee-high hiking boots; her arms are covered in Maori tribal tattoos. He finds her as much curious as he does attractive; now looking back at her a third time, he even finds himself a bit uneasy***

The liquidity of late modernity, the global flow of production and consumption, can be glimpsed in the bricolage of styles that constitute everyday 'fashion' and especially 'street fashion' as invented and displayed in large metropolitan areas.

Under such cond  
specific styles and  
into individual and  
lutionary, has long  
along London's tresh  
shop sold the latest  
thechestore.com) m  
The 'tactical corse  
women, one of ma  
now claimed to be  
of late by concern  
instability' (La Ferl

This late-modern  
what remains the  
(1979: 90) caution  
tacular subculture  
temporary blockag  
ates the political m  
nonetheless retain  
witness it - or atte

Still, those Mao  
spectacular fraud.  
of sheer mesh ta  
designer Susan Se  
indeed tattooed.

Criminologist V  
these ersatz Mao  
itself. As he has  
positivism, had a  
them to 'the true  
common crimina

***All this wildly u  
the bridges and  
Graffiti is one of t  
and defacing wal  
Great Britain, Eu  
the subcultures o  
various forms of  
encoding urban  
swarm of signifi***

cool, fashionable, e the surveillance- 1) to advertisers (a res a day. Are you shows.

surveillance that established secu- solescent register ic and *Real World* urce of pleasure,

ve satisfaction to v-knit communi- ting to reflect on rope. In the UK, down communi- s been resituated . Meanwhile, in remain strong in it.

Environmental e control in the ortable and less ie, or commit a g in ways that ground spikes rs. In this way, quite literally,

ess *Che* e, short ts; her arms rious as even finds

consumption, 'fashion' and politan areas.

Under such conditions, meaning comes loose from its original moorings; specific styles and images re-emerge as free-floating references to be re-sewn into individual and group style. Che Guevara, the 1950s Latin American revolutionary, has long since been reborn as a cultural icon and fashion accessory; along London's trendy Kensington High Street in the 1980s, the *Che* clothing shop sold the latest in mass-marketed apparel and today *The Che Store* ([www.thechestore.com](http://www.thechestore.com)) markets t-shirts and berets 'for all your revolutionary needs'. The 'tactical corset' is a stylized and sexualized piece of body armour for women, one of many popular bulletproof/body armour clothing items that are now claimed to be the sartorial 'expression of a defensive mind-set intensified of late by concerns about terrorism, escalating crime rates [sic] and economic instability' (La Ferla, 2010: E7).

This late-modern *mélange* of meaning is not without its consequences. In what remains the definitive analysis of such stylized displays, Dick Hebdige (1979: 90) cautions us not to 'underestimate the signifying power of the spectacular subculture ... as an actual mechanism of semantic disorder: a kind of temporary blockage in the system of representation'. So while her outfit evacuates the political meaning of Latin American revolutionaries or Maori tattoos, it nonetheless retains the power to cause uneasiness, even upheaval, for those who witness it – or attempt to police it.

Still, those Maori tattoos do constitute, on closer inspection, a particularly spectacular fraud. They're actually not tattoos at all, but rather part of a line of sheer mesh tattooed shirts and sleeves, marketed by Canadian fashion designer Susan Setz, that fool the observer into thinking that their wearer is indeed tattooed.

Criminologist Wayne Morrison (2004b: 76) would be particularly amused by these ersatz Maori tattoos, dislocated from the Maori and even from the skin itself. As he has shown, Cesare Lombroso, founding father of criminological positivism, had already stolen away their meaning 125 years before, reducing them to 'the true writing of the savage' and equating them – erroneously – with common criminality.

### *All this wildly unreadable graffiti along the tracks, sometimes even on the bridges and control towers above the tracks*

Graffiti is one of the most pervasive and visible crimes of late modernity, decorating and defacing walls, buildings and bridges throughout the USA, South America, Great Britain, Europe, the Middle East and elsewhere. Its forms are as varied as the subcultures of those who write it. Entangled on walls and buildings, these various forms of graffiti make for yet another *mélange* of uncertain meaning, encoding urban space with the pluralism of late-modern life. But amidst this swarm of signification, a few things are likely, though not certain:

- If our train passenger found the graffiti particularly widespread and largely unreadable, it was probably what was once called hip hop graffiti, now mostly just called 'graff', a highly stylized form of non-gang-affiliated public painting through which graffiti 'writers' compete and communicate.
- If our passenger did get a bit angry or uneasy upon seeing graffiti, that was likely mostly the result of mediated anti-graffiti campaigns that work to define the *meaning* of graffiti by intentionally confounding gang graffiti with 'graff' and other graffiti forms, and by spuriously associating graffiti with violent assault and rape.
- And if our passenger thinks that he's surely seen all the graffiti there is to see, he's surely wrong – since as the recent Underbelly Project hid and then revealed, there are whole cathedrals of unknown street art and graffiti *below* the city, too (Ferrell, 1996, 2013b; Snyder, 2009; Workhorse and PAC, 2012).



**Plate 4.2** The Underbelly Project

Permission of Underbelly Project

## Dazed and confused

We might hope that the authors of criminological textbooks devoted to the scholarly analysis of juvenile delinquency would clarify distinctions lost in the media-induced confusion over the types and consequences of youthful graffiti. Put differently, we might hope that students of criminology would come to know more about urban graffiti than the average train rider or newspaper reader. In most cases, that hope would be unfounded. In fact, far from addressing such issues, many juvenile delinquency textbooks perpetuate the confusion – and display their confusion on their covers for all to see.

One 1990s textbook presents on its cover what appears to be an image of early hip hop graffiti, circa 1980 or so – but it's difficult to know since hip hop graffiti doesn't appear in the table of contents, text or index (Jensen and Rojek, 1992). Bynum and Thompson's mid-1990s (1996: 288–90, 473) *Juvenile Delinquency: A Sociological Approach* likewise offers the student a cover apparently composed of computer-generated graffiti-style markings; inside is a short section dealing only with gang graffiti, and a glossary entry that defines 'graffiti' exclusively as 'the distinctive language/symbolism of street gangs'. By the seventh edition a decade later (Bynum and Thompson, 2007), a new, more stylish cover reproduces a section of a hip hop graffiti mural, the book's front page shows another sophisticated mural, chapter introductions and summaries repeat these hip hop images – and the errors of the text and glossary remain unchanged. The fifth edition of Bartollas's (2000) *Juvenile Delinquency* features as its cover an aesthetically stunning wall of multi-coloured hip hop graffiti murals and tags – and no mention whatsoever of hip hop graffiti in the table of contents, text, glossary or index. A more recent seventh edition (Bartollas, 2006: 112, 134) has replaced this cover with a drawing of a young man holding a spray can and painting a wall of non-specific graffiti. Inside, the book includes a photo of a young man painting hip hop graffiti, as an illustration of 'destruction of property' and Cohen's 'reaction formation'; another uncaptioned photo of a young Latina holding a gun in front of a wall covered in assorted tags and markings; and still, no mention of hip hop graffiti in the table of contents, text, glossary or index. Schmallegger and Bartollas's (2008) *Juvenile Delinquency* comes with a cover featuring a darkly atmospheric photograph of hip hop graffiti murals painted on trains. From the look of the trains and the graffiti, it might be New York City or Philadelphia in 1980, maybe London five years later, but we'll never know – the book omits graffiti from its table of contents, text and index. Bartollas and Schmallegger's (2011) *Juvenile Delinquency* (ninth edition) doesn't discuss graffiti in the text, either, but does wrap layered, multi-coloured graffiti markings around the front and back covers – with the graffiti's paint drips flowing upwards, apparently the result of an unnoticed upside-down image.

Other textbooks replicate this discordance between cover and content. The covers of both Struckhoff's (2006) *Annual Editions: Juvenile Delinquency and Justice* and Burfeind and Bartusch's (2006) *Juvenile Delinquency* present a young man with a spray can in front of hip hop-style graffiti, but neither manages to integrate an analysis of hip hop graffiti into the book itself. An image of non-specific graffiti adorns the cover of Regoli and Hewitt's (2006) *Delinquency in Society*, and is repeated as a background

(Continued)

(Continued)

image inside the book, yet the book neither explores nor indexes youthful graffiti. The book's eighth edition (Regoli et al., 2010) is awash in graffiti images – front and back covers, chapter introduction pages, even a 'special topics' section that begins with the same photo used on the cover of Burfeind and Bartusch (2006) – but omits graffiti from the table of contents, index and text, save for one sentence on gang graffiti and turf. While Springer and Roberts' (2011) edited collection, *Juvenile Justice and Delinquency*, superimposes on its cover dripping paint in front of a forlorn, hooded youth sitting beneath a three-dimensional hip hop graffiti wall, none of its 21 chapters focuses on such a situation. Likewise, Bartollas and Miller's (2014) *Juvenile Justice in America* (seventh edition) superimposes the silhouettes of five young men over a wall-sized hip hop graffiti mural, but ignores this sort of phenomenon in its text.

Most dramatically, Whitehead and Lab's (2006: 125) *Juvenile Justice* wraps a spectacular example of a hip hop graffiti mural around both its front and back covers, literally encasing the book in an explosion of hip hop style and colour, and then fails spectacularly to engage with its own image. Out of its 472 pages of text, the book dedicates five lines to graffiti, under the heading 'Gang behavior and types of gangs'.<sup>1</sup>

We well understand that textbook cover decisions are made as much by publishers as by authors; as Burns and Katovich (2006: 111) noted in their study of melodramatic images in introductory criminal justice texts, 'the highly competitive textbook market ... influences textbook design'. Yet, these graffiti covers, when affixed to books that consistently fail to address the complexity of graffiti forms – that in many cases fail to address youthful graffiti at all – constitute a form of intellectual fraud. It's not only that the books fail to deliver what their covers promise; in many cases, by juxtaposing hip hop graffiti images with discussions of gang graffiti, they reinforce the very misperceptions we might hope they would dispel. Ironically, while the repeated use of such covers tacitly acknowledges the aesthetic power of hip hop graffiti to startle and engage, the texts turn away from this engagement, ignoring the most publicly visible and aesthetically meaningful form of juvenile delinquency. Having mischaracterized graffiti in both image and analysis, Bynum and Thompson (1996: 228; 2007: 347) note that 'an understanding of graffiti is very important to law enforcement officers'.

It's very important to students, too.

### ***Pepperface Palm Defender pepper spray necklace***

'We've heard all the stats about rape and other violent attacks', writes Alyson Ward (2006: 3G) in a newspaper article breathlessly endorsing the new Pepperface Palm Defender, 'But how many of us are really carrying around a clunky canister of pepper spray?'

The solution is the Palm Defender. Its manufacturer, Ward explains, 'is turning personal safety into fashion ... In fact, it hopes we'll start to think of it the way we think of our cell phones or MP3 players – as a useful *and* pretty necessity we carry everywhere'. Best of all, 'one shot will incapacitate an attacker for at least half an hour. Which gives you a chance to run, girl'.

Of course  
most impo  
since wom  
mate part  
aesthetic c  
cans of pep  
prefers the  
American v  
jazzed up  
(Ulrich, 20  
pistol comp  
Viagra) in  
ST3) – all f  
Coming  
stylish wor  
You go,

**Scanning**  
**broken se**  
**like that,**  
**bloodsho**

Actually, s  
criminolo

Perhaps  
decades h  
model of  
reactionar  
'broken w  
crime con  
a deeply f  
similar pr  
invitation  
haps 'see  
displays  
knowledg  
cases, Wil  
potential  
accelerate  
motion.  
perceptio  
It falls.

Of course, if Ward had *really* read the stats, she'd remind her readers that the most important place to wear the Palm Defender would be around the house, since women remain far more likely to be victimized by acquaintances or intimate partners than by strangers. But no matter – the real issue here is the aesthetic consumption of crime prevention. No unsightly bulges from clunky cans of pepper spray or large concealed weapons – the stylishly hardened target prefers the Palm Defender or maybe one of the smaller, sleeker handguns that American weapons manufacturers now market to women, the 'smaller, lighter, jazzed up guns for girls' that sport fine detailing and 24-carat gold gilding (Ulrich, 2006). Twenty-four carat gold comes standard with designer Ted Noten's pistol compacts, too, which also include 'lip gloss in the muzzle, pills (including Viagra) in the loading chamber ... and a 4-gigabyte thumb drive' (Phillips, 2011: ST3) – all for just \$17,000.

Coming soon to a shop near you: the new line of ultra-slender stilettos for the stylish woman – and we don't mean high heels.

You go, girl.

*Scanning one alley for tags as she cuts through it, she notices two broken second-floor windows and laughs to herself – side by side like that, with the big jagged holes in each one, they look like two big bloodshot eyes staring back at her*

Actually, she shouldn't be laughing – at least not according to one popular criminological model.

Perhaps the most politically prominent approach to crime over the last few decades has been Wilson and Kelling's (2003[1982]: 402–4) 'broken windows' model of crime causation. Utilized as the scholarly reference point for a range of reactionary, punitive public policing strategies since its emergence in the 1980s, 'broken windows' is often seen as a straight-ahead, no-nonsense approach to crime control. In reality, it is a deeply *aesthetic* analysis of crime's etiology – and a deeply flawed analysis as well. According to this model, broken windows and similar public displays of neglect and petty criminality function as symbolic invitations to further criminality, in that they 'signal that no one cares' or perhaps 'seem to signal that "no one cares"'. Likewise, 'such otherwise harmless displays as subway graffiti' communicate to subway riders the 'inescapable knowledge that the environment ... is uncontrolled and uncontrollable'. In such cases, Wilson and Kelling argue, 'residents will think that crime ... is on the rise', potential criminals will perceive these signs of inattention as encouragements to accelerated misbehaviour and a downward spiral of disorder will be set in motion. Claiming in this way to engage issues of image, public display and perception, 'broken windows' stands or falls on its aesthetic analysis of crime.

It falls.

A useful justification for the conservative clampdown on everyday 'quality of life' crimes like graffiti writing and panhandling, a pseudo-theoretical pretext for aggressively policing marginalized urban populations, the model is decidedly less useful as an aesthetic of crime. Imagining the contours of symbolism and perception rather than investigating them, the model constructs a series of abstract, one-dimensional meanings that it arbitrarily assigns to dislocated images and idealized audiences. In fact, as any city-dweller knows, the symbolic texture of the urban landscape is far more ambiguous and complex. To the extent that a 'broken window' functions as a symbol, for example, it may symbolize any manner of activities to any number of audiences, depending on situation and context: community resistance to absentee ownership, a personal grudge, the failure of local code enforcement, the illicit accommodation of the homeless or a nearby baseball game. Likewise, depending on particulars of content and context, gang graffiti may symbolize a neighbourhood's intergenerational history, suggest changing patterns of ethnic occupation or conflict, or even enforce a degree of community self-policing. A proliferation of stylized non-gang graffiti (a distinction ignored by Wilson and Kelling) may likewise suggest a *decline* in criminal violence – that is, it may lead some neighbourhood residents to understand that gang crime is on the decline – and in fact may harbinger a less violent social order now negotiated through the very symbols that Wilson and Kelling so tellingly misrepresent (Sanchez-Tranquilino, 1995; Ferrell, 1996; Phillips, 1999; D. Miller, 2001; Snyder, 2009).

So a man on a train may find a display of graffiti perplexing, a woman on the way to work may find broken windows amusing, and others will engage still different perceptions of the urban environment. As cultural criminologists, our job is to investigate this environment and these perceptions, to explore these various meanings – not, as with Wilson and Kelling, to impose our own constipated perceptions in the service of the state.

### James Q. Wilson and the Strange Case of the Undermined Obituary

When James Q. Wilson died in 2012, his obituary made the front page of *The New York Times* (Weber, 2012: A1, B8). Not surprisingly, the lengthy obituary featured his 'best known' and most influential work: the broken windows model of crime perception and crime control. A few pages deeper into that same day's *New York Times*, another story stood in direct contradiction, its content negating the claims promulgated on the front page. It seems that graffiti in the form of stencilled red goats had begun to appear in Kingston, New York, and had quickly spread across the USA and Canada. Some citizens and city authorities in Kingston condemned the goats as vandalism; other citizens and city officials embraced them as art. Eventually, the goats became so popular that the Kingston mayor found them 'good for

Kingston's  
great sym  
most succi  
ing and re  
'How graff

### Skeletons

There exists  
murderers a  
dreds of tho  
death does  
Vinciguerra  
the Skeleto  
of Coroner  
sold out (M

### But now t planted a

In the lat  
increasing  
trol; as Ra  
private an  
hedge wa  
security c  
mental st  
planting'  
potential  
politics o

### Pornogr request

The soci  
technolo  
mediated  
a new se  
nology.  
of email  
from the  
press an  
technol

on everyday 'quality of  
do-theoretical pretext for  
s, the model is decidedly  
ntours of symbolism and  
el constructs a series of  
ily assigns to dislocated  
eller knows, the symbolic  
us and complex. To the  
for example, it may sym-  
audiences, depending on  
ee ownership, a personal  
t accommodation of the  
on particulars of con-  
hood's intergenerational  
on or conflict, or even  
ion of stylized non-gang  
may likewise suggest a  
ighbourhood residents  
in fact may harbinger a  
ry symbols that Wilson  
no, 1995; Ferrell, 1996;

exing, a woman on the  
ers will engage still dif-  
criminologists, our job  
; to explore these vari-  
se our own constipated

e of the  
  
ront page of *The New*  
thy obituary featured  
dows model of crime  
same day's *New York*  
: negating the claims  
orm of stencilled red  
quickly spread across  
ston condemned the  
em as art. Eventually,  
ind them 'good for

Kingston's image' and the local newspaper wrote an editorial endorsing them as 'a great symbol' (Applebome, 2012: A15). But it was the article's title that perhaps most succinctly cancelled Wilson's certainty as to graffiti's singularly negative meaning and revealed the interpretive ambiguity inherent in the urban environment: 'How graffiti goats', it said, 'became a symbol of ... something.'

### ***Skeletons in the Closet shop***

There exists a thriving retail market for the weapons and personal belongings of murderers and other criminals past and present, with some pieces bringing hundreds of thousands of dollars at auction; as one report notes, 'evidence of violent death does seem to increase appeal and prices' (Kahn, 2012: C24; see also Vinciguerra, 2011). Old mug shots from the 1950s are also selling well, and at the Skeletons in the Closet shop operated by the Los Angeles County Department of Coroner, toe tags can be snagged for just five dollars each, when they're not sold out (Medina, 2010; Rosen, 2011).

### ***But now the park gate stays locked most days and somebody has planted a prickly hedge between the two shops***

In the late-capitalist city, public spaces – parks, city squares, play areas – are increasingly privatized in the interest of economic development and social control; as Randall Amster (2004, 2008) has shown, even city sidewalks are made private and so made unavailable for public activity. Similarly, that new prickly hedge wasn't the gardener's idea; it was suggested by the environmental design security consultant or the neighbourhood police officer. Among today's environmental strategies for regulating human movement in urban areas is 'barrier planting' (Ferrell, 2001/2: 5–6) – the planting of shrubs and bushes with the potential to impale those who might seek out shortcuts. As it turns out, the politics of the everyday are present even in the flora.

### ***Pornographic come-ons, African money transfers, spurious bank requests for credit card information***

The sociologist Gary Marx (1995) has noted that 'new telecommunications technologies require new manners'. With each new advance in the technology of mediated communication, a new *culture* of communication must emerge as well, a new set of interactional codes and symbolic manners appropriate to the technology. The structural grammar of the written letter gives way to the casual speed of email; the expectation of response generated by the phone message differs from that of an email message; the symbolic codes of the instant message compress and abbreviate in relation to the compressed size and speed of the technology itself.

New technologies require new crimes as well, and new crime cultures. A mailed letter offering easy cash offers one kind of enticement, an email message promising an African money transfer another. With the email and its attachment, the recipient's information can be phished more deeply and more quickly – if only the sender can utilize appropriate symbols and markers so as to convince the recipient of honest intentions or emergency need. Of course, the intended victims of such crimes themselves learn new manners, new safeguards against identity theft or consumer fraud, from their own experience and from each other.

We might even say that every technology gets the crime it deserves.

***The company monitors employee keystrokes as a way of checking up on productivity ... the company also monitors and tracks web usage***

New technologies spawn new forms of control, too – forms of surveillance today built into advancing communication technologies as surely as spatial controls are built into the reconstructed social environment. Among these are hard-drive searches, keystroke monitors, 'spyware' and screen-view software, enabling remote viewing of home and commercial computer screens in real time. 'However, as with all forms of surveillance', Richard Jones notes, 'the more knowledgeable the person (potentially) under surveillance is about the surveillance practices likely to be used against them, the more strategies they can employ to try to evade surveillance' (2005: 484; see also 2000).

***Bored out of your mind***

As we've documented elsewhere (Ferrell, 2004a; see also Bengtsson, 2012), boredom seems one of the definitive experiences of late modernity; kids complain of it, workers hunker down and endure it, and activists of all sorts cite it as the condition against which they agitate and organize. Built into the assembly line and office cubicle as surely as the fast-food outlet and the school curriculum, boredom pervades the everyday operations of a rationalized social order. Even those avenues that promise an escape from the tedium of the everyday – televised entertainments, new music releases, theme parks and adventure tours – themselves quickly become routine, ultimately little more than predictable packages of commodified experience.

In this sense, boredom calibrates, second by awful second, the experience of drudgery in the late-modern world. As a cultural artifact, it likewise measures the gap between the late-modern promise of fulfilling work and the reality of a deskilled service economy, the gap between the breathless marketing of individual excitement and the delivery of fast-fried McEmotions. From a Mertonian view, boredom emerges from strain, from human expectation and experience

straining against the false promises of late modernity. And as Merton (1938) would predict, this strain – this desire for desire, to paraphrase Tolstoy – can lead to all manner of illicit adaptations.

*Like that last holiday they had before the divorce. His daughter had wanted to go to Walt Disney World to check out the new MyMagic+ system, but instead they decided to holiday in Spain, where the tour guides kidnapped them and marched them into the mountains of Andalusia. He enjoyed it so much he's already planning next year's holiday – even if he has to go by himself – and he has it narrowed down to three possibilities: the LA Gang Tour, the Guerrilla Trek in Nepal or a visit to Jonestown in Guyana.*

With Disney's new MyMagic+ system, patrons wear MagicBand rubber bracelets encoded with credit card information and other personal data that enable Disney to 'track guest behaviour in minute detail' (Barnes, 2013: B1, B7), and that allow Disney characters, by way of hidden sensors, to offer personalized greetings to individual guests.

Playing on the romanticized mythology of the nineteenth-century Spanish *bandolero* (bandit), Spain's Bandolero Tours offer full-day or half-day kidnappings (100 or 50 euros, respectively), in which tourists pay to be kidnapped, taken into the Andalusian mountains and there 'regaled with legends about great *bandoleros*' (Abend, 2006: V2) before being returned. In Nepal, one company offers a Guerrilla Trek that allows holiday trekkers 'to follow in the steps of Maoist fighters' who fought during the country's decade-long conflict (Horton, 2011: 46). For \$65 (lunch included) and a signed liability waiver, LA Gangland Tours in Los Angeles takes customers on a tour of 'high-profile gang areas and the top crime-scene locations', and even offers a graffiti workshop (SideTour in New York City also offers graffiti classes) (Archibald, 2010: A1; Harris, 2011). 'Poverty tours' and 'slum tours' likewise offer vacationers access to Brazilian favelas and South African slums (Freire-Medeiros, 2012), and 'reality adventures' provide clients interactive experiences with 'players posing as homeless people' (Weiner, 2011: 46). Mexico's Hnahnu Indians offer a *caminata nocturna* – a night-time hike – for tourists wishing to replicate the experience of illegally crossing the Rio Grande River into the USA (Healy, 2007); complete with pursuit by faux Border Patrol agents and a substitute river, a *caminata* is a bargain at just 200 pesos (US\$11). But perhaps the most disturbingly haunting repackaging of historic violence as holiday entertainment is the proposed 'dark tourism' redevelopment of the decaying Jonestown, Guyana site, where more than 900 followers of Jim Jones were murdered or committed suicide in 1978. Then again, as one local hotelier noted, 'what this area really needs is a casino' (in Romero, 2010: A6).

### ***Where's the Cocaine?***

A competitor in the growing, youth-oriented 'energy drink' market, Cocaine comes in red cans with the drink's name written in what appear to be lines of white powder. Name and can alike in this way reference both the drug cocaine and the drink Coca-Cola or Coke. But whereas Coca-Cola/Coke did in its early years contain significant amounts of cocaine, Cocaine the energy drink doesn't. Instead, it contains 280 milligrams of caffeine, more than enough to contribute to the growing phenomenon that doctors label youthful 'caffeine abuse'.

We have some questions. Is the product's provocative name mostly a matter of marketing commodified transgression to kids? (Yes.) Has the controversy surrounding the drink helped promote it and cement its outlaw image? (Yes.) And how is it that Coke, a drink that in fact did once contain cocaine, is now the sanitized soft drink of choice for mid-America, and Cocaine, a drink that has never contained cocaine, is now the edgiest of drinks for the young and the restless?

In other drugs-as-image news, 'illustrious devotees' of the Morphine Generation clothing line include Brad Pitt, Scarlett Johansson and Lindsay Lohan (Vesilind, 2008), and the Internet abounds with recipes for drinks like 'liquid cocaine', 'liquid crack' and 'liquide heroine [sic]', all containing copious amounts of liquor and no cocaine, crack or heroin. Little image-covered glassine packets often do, though, and so a group of artists has staged a 'Heroin Stamp Project' show featuring hundreds of empty heroin packets picked up off the streets. Designed 'to examine the intersection of advertising and addiction' (Moynihan, 2010: C1), the show includes packets stamped with cultural references like White Fang, Last Temptation and Tango and Cash.

***This guy in a car tosses some fast food out into the street. A female bike courier grabs the food and tosses it back in, yelling 'don't litter in my neighbourhood'. He jumps out, throws two coffees at her and now he's stomping on her bike and they start scuffling. Some guys come off the sidewalk and pull him off of her. Meanwhile, another dude with a camera just keeps shooting – but when he tries to photograph the car's licence plate, the guy from the car opens the trunk, grabs a baseball bat and charges him***

This incidence of urban conflict exploded in Toronto's crowded Kensington Market a few years back. Happening on the scene, photographer Adam Krawesky began shooting photos of it – but that was only the first turn in a spiral of crime and culture. When he posted the photos to the CityNoise website (Krawesky, 2006; [www.citynoise.org](http://www.citynoise.org)), thousands of responses began to pour in, and in no time the photos and the story had been picked up by countless other websites and blogs. Meanwhile, a daily newspaper, the *Toronto Star*, published three of the photos without Krawesky's permission as part of a feature article on the street conflict,

the photos and the web responses to both (Powell, 2006). By this time, Krawesky was getting calls from national and international media, working with another daily newspaper, and, as he told us, learning about the power of mediated images to mislead and mythologize (Krawesky, 2006). After all, he emphasized, he hadn't even been able to photograph the entire incident – and yet people around the world were now sure they understood it, sure of their opinions about it, sure they could make sense of it by referencing street justice, or gender conflict, or similar scenes in the Hollywood film *Crash* (Dir. Paul Haggis, 2004).



**Plate 4.3** An image of urban conflict

Credit: Adam Krawesky (2006), by permission

*It's like that film with Michael Douglas, you know, where he's lost his job and people are messing with him and trying to rob him and ... what's the name of that film?*

The name of that film is *Falling Down* (1993), directed by Joel Schumacher and starring Michael Douglas and Robert Duvall. Films such as these capture something of the late-modern vertigo that plagues the once-secure middle classes; perhaps they exacerbate that vertigo as well.

*So she and the assistant manager went out from behind the counter, smiling and asking the girls if they could help them with anything, and chatted with them until they left the store*

A while back, Jeff Ferrell scrounged a *Gap Loss Prevention Manual* from a trash bin behind a Gap clothing store. The manual emphasizes to employees that

'customer service' is the best guard against shoplifting and other theft-related 'shortage', since 'great service keeps our customers coming back and shoplifters from coming in'. The manual offers specific suggestions for customer service as crime prevention – 'extend a warm hello to customers and offer your assistance' – and even suggests scripts: 'Hello, are you shopping for yourself or for a gift?' 'How do you like our new fall colours?'

Should this preventive strategy fail, employees are advised to use 'recovery statements' to reclaim shoplifted merchandise. 'Role-play different scenarios with your managers', they're told: 'Practice using APPROPRIATE, NONACCUSATORY, SERVICE-ORIENTED Recovery Statements.' Specific statements are again suggested, including 'That dress is really cute. I bought one for my niece the other day.'

This management of verbal interaction is matched by the management of emotion (Hochschild, 2003). 'Remember to remain positive!' when making recovery statements, the manual urges; even when responding to a store alarm, 'do not accuse the customer or allow the conversation to become confrontational'. Under guidelines for the hectic holiday sales season, employees are urged to call the Loss Prevention Hotline if they suspect internal problems at the store (all calls confidential, reward of up to \$500), but in the next line encouraged to 'Have fun!!! The holidays are a perfect time to "choose your attitude!!!"'. Further emotional support is provided by Loss Prevention Contests, with employees rewarded with gift certificates or movie passes for knowing loss prevention procedures.

If those two girls in the store are up to nothing more than shoplifting a Starbucks CD, these sorts of soft control, customer-service-as-crime-prevention techniques may succeed. Then again, the girls may have been reverse shoplifting or 'shopdropping' – illicitly placing independent CDs or politically altered products in corporate stores (Urbina, 2007).

And if they're part of the new 'precarity' consciousness that is now confronting the precarious conditions of late modernity, then it's all but certain the store's soft controls won't work. Precarity practitioners argue that the globalized dynamics of late capitalism – 'flex scheduling', part-time service employment, outsourced work, zero-hour contracts, temporary jobs sans benefits or long-term assurances – leave more and more people, especially young people, with nothing but emotional and economic uncertainty. Yet, this very uncertainty – this very precariousness – creates a new sort of commonality, maybe a new if amorphous social class, where 'immigrants, mall workers, freelancers, waiters, squatters ... an immigrant worker and a downwardly-mobile twenty-something' (Kruglanski, 2006: n.p.) together drift through the anomie of late modernity. So precarity itself replaces the job site as a place to organize the disorganized, to find some slippery common ground – and those navigating this slippery ground even invent San Precario (Saint Precarious), the playful patron saint of late-modern uncertainty.

nd other theft-related  
g back and shoplifters  
or customer service as  
offer your assistance' –  
yourself or for a gift?

vised to use 'recovery  
ifferent scenarios with  
3, NONACCUSATORY,  
its are again suggested,  
ce the other day.'

the management of  
itive!' when making  
ding to a store alarm,  
ome confrontational'.  
ployees are urged to  
problems at the store  
next line encouraged  
ose your attitude!'''.  
tion' Contests, with  
es for knowing loss

e than shoplifting a  
-as-crime-prevention  
en reverse shoplifting  
olitically altered prod-

it is now confronting  
ut certain the store's  
that the globalized  
ervice employment,  
ns benefits or long-  
young people, with  
s very uncertainty –  
lity, maybe a new if  
freelancers, waiters,  
e twenty-something'  
e of late modernity.  
ze the disorganized,  
gating this slippery  
yful patron saint of



Plate 4.4 San Precario, transgendered patron saint of the precarious and a 'detournement of popular tradition' (Tari and Vanni, 2005)

Credit: chainworkers.org after an image by Chris Woods

After all, if for an earlier generation 'a job was an instrument for integration and social normalization', today jobs are only temporary 'instruments we use to obtain the cash we need in order to live and socialize with the least humiliation possible' (Kruglanski, 2006: n.p.). And yet the minimum-wage cash from a part-time job is never enough and the humiliation only increases – recall those young Gap workers, forced to mimic the manual. 'Smiling is working – where does my real smile begin?', Kruglanski (2006: n.p.) asks, 'whether your friendliness is tainted by the shade of networking, or shaded by "Hello, how are we today? My name is Rob and I'll be your server"'. And so, with the social contract effectively voided by the fluid predations of late capitalism, the smiling clerks and servers turn on the very situations that entrap them and think about ways to drift away from them (Ferrell, 2012a).

But wait a minute; how do these young people know so much about late capitalism? Oh, that's right – *they've been forced to read the manual.*

***A little black orb attached to one girl's LED-covered purse***

The black orb is ExisTech's high-fashion surveillance accessory: a sophisticated camera, not unlike the spherical ones you see scanning suspects in department stores, but here utilized by advocates of a process known as 'Sousveillance'. From the French 'sous' (below) and 'veiller' (to watch), sousveillance challenges the ubiquitous surveillance practices of late modernity. It draws on the 'detournement' practice of 'reflectionism' – that is, 'appropriating tools of social controllers and resituating these tools in a disorienting manner' (Mann et al., 2003: 333). Sousveillance not only allows the watched to do some watching of their own – as Mann and his colleagues found out in a series of Research Performances – it also greatly unsettles surveillance agents and security guards.

In one case, sousveillance practitioners donned 'invisibility suits' – wearable computer systems linked to flat-panel monitors worn as backpacks – that project images from a small head-mounted video camera. The wearer's back becomes a 'window' and gives the impression of actually seeing right through the wearer. While this type of public display brings sousveillance practitioners into conflict with security staff, 'the wearer argues that the motivation for wearing the camera is to provide protection from being seen by surveillance cameras. Thus the surveillance agent's objection to the sousveillance camera becomes an objection to his own surveillance camera' (Mann et al., 2003: 355).

The LED-covered purse is designed by Adam Harvey, as part of his 'stealth wear' collection; if she wishes to block unwanted photography, the purse's owner can activate the extra-bright LEDs so as to blur the photograph (Wortham, 2013).

***When a bunch of people walked in and just started taking fruit and muffins off the counter and eating them, like it was a picnic or something, without even attempting to pay***

In France, factory workers have recently staged a number of 'withholdings' – that is, holding bosses and managers in the factories to protest mass layoffs and plant closings. In addition, activists have staged 'wild picnics' in supermarkets – collectively eating from the shelves until thrown out by the authorities – to protest high food prices (Perelman, 2009).

***The FCUK (French Connection UK) clothing store has changed its display window since she walked past this morning. It now features skinny female mannequins, dressed in the latest FCUK designs, shoving and throwing punches at each other***

Unsurprisingly, a retail clothing chain whose name plays on the naughty titillations of sexual slang also displays its apparel amidst a stylized tableau of female violence and victimization. Here, transgression is commodified twice over, first

'branded' into the very identity of the retailer, then reconfirmed in the violent poses frozen in the shop window.

Meanwhile, along New York City's exclusive Madison Avenue, a dealer in fine European antiques files a \$1,000,000 suit against three homeless men whose transgression consists of sitting on the sidewalk in front of his display window. According to the suit, the dealer 'spends large sums each year in carefully preparing the displays appearing in the storefront window showcases', and by their homelessness, the three men distract customers from proper appreciation (Burke et al., 2007: n.p.).

Following the lead of FCUK, he might consider instead allowing the three to set up residence just *inside* the storefront window, maybe throwing a punch or holding up one of the antiques now and then.

***There was also that bus stop shelter with the odd words and images in place of the usual advertisements***

Those non-commercial words and images are courtesy of Public Ad Campaign ([www.publicadcampaign.com](http://www.publicadcampaign.com)), a group that illicitly removes urban advertisements and replaces them with public art as an act of 'civil disobedience' against advertising's monopolization of public space and public perception. Public Ad Campaign operates in New York City, Madrid, Frankfurt, Paris and other cities; on the West Coast of the USA, the California Department of Corrections ([www.correctionsdepartment.org](http://www.correctionsdepartment.org)) likewise 'corrects' offending advertisements without benefit of permission.



**Plate 4.5** Public Ad Campaign

Permission of Public Ad Campaign

***According to her, even a damn prawn sandwich is a crime***

And maybe it is.

As Martin O'Brien (2006: 6) has shown, the prawns (shrimp) in that sandwich are the result of a global system of large-scale prawn production and distribution that produces at the same time 'murder, abuse, exploitation, theft and environmental destruction'. Global consumer culture not only distances the consumer from the process by which an item of consumption is produced; it distances the consumer from the criminal abuses of people, animals and the environment inherent in that process as well. From Mardi Gras beads (Redmon, 2005, 2015) to Christmas figurines (Ferrell, 2006a: 165–6), cultural criminologists attempt to traverse that distance by linking everyday consumer objects to the conditions of their globalized production. As with Dick Hebdige's (1988: 77–115) brilliant deconstruction of the Italian motor scooter, we look for the currents of meaning embedded in the materials of everyday life.

***Not five minutes later, one of the many dishevelled folks who hang around the edges of the station is just as careful to extricate from the trash the half sandwich, the Coke can – and a brand new designer scarf that someone's thrown away***

The vast economic inequality that haunts late modernity is confirmed each time an impoverished scrounger reaches into a trash bin, digging for survival amidst the discards; the highs and lows of late capitalism both occupy that moment.

On the high end, the hyper-consumerism that drives late-capitalist economies produces astounding amounts of waste among those privileged enough to afford the next designer suit or iphone. A century ago, the great sociologist and economist Thorstein Veblen (1953[1899]) began to notice patterns of 'conspicuous consumption' – consumption predicated not on the satisfaction of physical need but on the conspicuous attainment of status. A century later, as advertising saturates daily life with the mythology of the perpetually new and improved product, this sort of consumption is pervasive, with consumers endlessly purchasing goods for the sake of lifestyle and status. But of course these consumer goods accumulate, lose their conspicuous lustre and so are discarded to make room for the next wave of consumption. Trash bins overflow with discarded goods, many of them unused and unworn, but now unworthy (Ferrell, 2006a).

On the low end, the same economic system that spawns widespread consumption and waste also spawns widespread poverty and homelessness. The economic circumstances of those 'precarious' part-time retail clerks and flexi workers seen earlier are precarious indeed; with low wages and no guarantees of ongoing employment, they remain always on the brink of joblessness, in or near poverty, in many cases one paycheck away from homelessness. For more and more women, single parenthood or the demise of a bad marriage leaves

them likewise vulnerable to loss of income or housing – and so homeless shelters fill with women and their children. Add to this the steady destruction of low-cost housing in the interest of ‘urban development’, and the corporate ‘downsizing’ and ‘outsourcing’ that define the late-modern global economy, and it is little wonder that more and more homeless and unemployed people – and ‘under-employed’ minimum-wage workers – find themselves sorting through the waste of others’ consumption.

Against all odds, such folks salvage not only cans and clothes but sometimes a modicum of dignity, sharing scrounging techniques and inventing moments of do-it-yourself resourcefulness. Others mix trash with DIY political activism. The group Food Not Bombs scrounges discarded food, cooks it, and serves it free of charge to the homeless. Projecting the do-no-harm ethic of a vegan diet into the realm of consumption, ‘freegans’ reject retail shopping for the dumpster diving of food and clothing ‘so as to give no economic power to the capitalist consumer machine’ (<http://freegan.info/>; see Clark, 2004). Around the USA, college students also engage in activist dumpster diving, holding dumpster-diving workshops, scrounging the trash of Ivy League schools, and even making a film to serve ‘as a propaganda vehicle to develop colleges’ recycling programs’ (Kimes, 2006: 13).

So that dishevelled scrounger digging in the train station trash bin might well be hungry and homeless, but might also be a minimum-wage worker, a political activist, a found-object artist, a train-hopping gutter punk or a committed college student. And that scrounger is hanging out of sight around the edges of the station because she or he is almost certainly a criminal as well – that is, almost certainly violating one of the many contemporary legal statutes that prohibit urban trash scrounging in particular and homeless living in general. In many cities, Food Not Bombs activists are likewise denied permits to feed the homeless, then ticketed or arrested for feeding the homeless without a permit; Las Vegas and other American cities now go further, banning any provision of food to the homeless in downtown parks. Across the USA, laws ban sitting or lying on sidewalks, ‘camping’ in public, even smelling of body odour in a public library; in France, the government places time restrictions on begging (Erlanger, 2011; Wollan, 2012). As urban economies come to rely increasingly on the high end of late capitalism – on upscale retail consumption within sanitized environments – urban authorities readily criminalize those who, by living off the excesses of that consumption, might somehow interrupt it (Ferrell, 2001/2, 2006a).

This dynamic of consumption and waste isn’t confined to the relative affluence of the USA, the UK and Western Europe. In India, many of the destitute live from urban trash dumps, in some cases even growing vegetables in the composting waste. In the Gaza Strip, Palestinians scrounge scrap metal from abandoned Israeli settlements. Mexican peasants weave purses and belts from candy wrappers

and cookie packages; Brazil's poor collect and sell trash in the tradition of the *garrafeira* or 'bottle collector', and mine landfills for recyclables as *catadores* or 'trash pickers', as documented in the Academy Award-nominated film *Waste Land* (Walker et al., 2010). As shown in another Academy Award-nominated documentary, *Recycled Life* (Iwerks, 2006), generations of impoverished Guatemalans have likewise mined Guatemala City's landfill, the largest in Central America. The *cartoneros* ('cardboard people') who scavenge for cardboard and scrap paper in Buenos Aires, Argentina, even ride their own stripped-down 'Ghost Train' to and from their nocturnal work in the city centre. Meanwhile, in Guiyu, China, 60,000 people work amidst toxic metal and acid runoff as they disassemble old computers – a task, and risk, they share with thousands of inmates in US federal prisons, who earn as little as 23 cents an hour for disassembling electronic equipment (see Bloch, 1997; O'Brien, 2008).

***The school counsellor advised him and his ex-wife that his daughter seems driven to take risks, to push her own limits, to test herself and her teachers***

As you've seen in previous chapters, cultural criminological theories suggest some explanations for the daughter's behaviour and the counsellor's perception of it. Like her father, she may be responding to boredom – to the boredom that the school enforces through dress codes, attendance requirements and curricula generally drained of critical thought. Like Cohen's (1955) delinquent boys, she and her friends may be delinquent girls, inverting and resisting the standards by which they are judged. Or, as we saw in the previous chapter, she may be one of the many girls and women now engaged in edgework and so looking for the sharpened sense of self that the rationalized school denies her (see Garot, 2007b; Gailey, 2009).

***It's the same old stuff – murder mysteries, police procedurals, surveillance camera compilations***

So large is the market for death that it transcends death itself. 'We're really seeing the day of the zombie', says book publisher Don D'Auria. 'As a monster, it's speaking to people' (St John, 2006: 1; Boluk and Lenz, 2011). We'd guess that it's saying something about apocalyptic anxiety, and an overblown sense that danger lurks always amidst the everyday. But in any case, as zombie films, novels and video games proliferate, so do the opportunities to read of humankind stalked by a terror that doubles death back on itself, to watch as the undead eat out the brains of the soon-to-be-dead. No longer do we go gentle into that good night; now we hype the journey, and return to carry others along with us.

The roaring popularity of murder and policing programmes pushes this death culture deeper still into everyday life. Here, the long process of criminal justice is

lost in the pseudo-scientific moment, and the drama of death is in the details – torn and severed flesh, flecks of blood, entry and exit wounds, all to be analysed in slow-motion close-up (Cavender and Deutsch, 2007). If mediated violence is pornographic in its objectification of pain and victimization, these shows are hard-core pornographic snuff films: close-up shots of bullet-on-flesh action or body parts gnawed by rodents, all designed to titillate even the most satiated consumer of televised death. Indeed, it seems we're addicted to the culture of death, dancing every day with violence and morbidity, and inventing as many zombies, serial killers, terrorists and multi-fatality car wrecks as necessary to keep us, well ... happy.

Can it be any surprise, then, that a society hooked on the happy indulgences of televised forensic pornography – a society that finds in televised gore and violation a sad sort of existential succour – wishes only the most painful and punitive consequences for those who traffic in actual criminality? This love/hate relationship is mostly two sides of the same titillation. The criminal must be constructed and punished as 'the other' to successfully serve the viewer's voyeuristic escape from the everyday; the escapist love of the televised criminal and the punitive hatred of the actual criminal are both acts of distancing, of exclusion, and so both are necessary safeguards for the consumer of crime news and entertainment. A sadomasochistic marriage of fear and fascination is consummated, and with it a thin line indeed between love and hate.

And by the way: What might we think of a society where many of its most popular television shows portrayed and endorsed the tenets of fundamentalist religion? Would we be concerned about a media-abetted theocracy? If many of our most popular shows featured hardcore *sexual* pornography, might even the most liberal among us worry that citizens were just a bit preoccupied with sexual gratification, to the exclusion of other matters? So, with our most popular programmes oriented towards the most graphic of death depictions, with the culture of the zombie ascending like a corpse from the grave, what now? A thanatocracy, a commercialized zombie culture consumed by its own death wish (Jarvis, 2007; Brown, 2009; Linnemann et al., 2014)?


*In the dream she's reaching for her, trying to pull her through some sort of wall, but she can't keep her grip and she fades away, receding into the innards of the American penal gulag, disappearing into the crowd of two million other prisoners for whom a day in the life is something very different indeed*

More and more occupants of the late-modern world find themselves extricated from the usual rhythms of the everyday, in some cases by the criminal violence they have given or received, in others by the inequitable machinery of contemporary

criminal justice as embodied in mandatory and differential sentencing, racial profiling, impoverished public defender programmes, and 'wars' on drugs, gangs and terror. As we showed in Chapter 3, this incarceration machine now imprisons more than two million Americans, with millions more under the ongoing state surveillance of probation and parole.

And yet this carceral madness has spawned its own critique, has birthed a scholarly Frankenstein more than ready to turn on its creator, and in so doing to reveal the real monster. Emerging from the experiences of those who have transformed their own incarceration into informed critique, convict criminology mixes inside exposé, qualitative research and critical theory to produce a damning critique of mass incarceration. Left with little choice but to 'spend considerable amounts of time observing the culture of today's prison and their impact on staff and convicts' (Austin et al., 2001: 20), these convict criminologists likewise marshal ethnographic immersion and theoretical sophistication to construct a critical, cultural critique of 'managerial' prison research that offers 'little empathy for prisoners' and 'disregards the harm perpetrated by criminal justice processing of individuals arrested, charged, and convicted of crimes' (Richards and Ross, 2001: 177).

A collective act of intellectual courage, convict criminology might be considered the cultural criminology of the everyday life that no one cares to notice.



### A selection of films and documentaries illustrative of some of the themes and ideas in this chapter

*Traffic*, 2001, Dir. Steven Soderbergh

*Traffic* is a gripping, multi-layered film that explores the intricate interconnections of the illegal drug trade in contemporary America. Broad in scope, the film's storyline cross-cuts various aspects of the drug trade, from the internal dynamics of drug cartels to the problems faced by Drug Enforcement Agency officers, from the political hypocrisy surrounding the 'war on drugs' to the drug habits of the middle classes. See also the 1990 British Channel 4 series *Traffik* (on which the Hollywood film *Traffic* was based).

*Mardi Gras: Made in China*, 2005, Dir. David Redmon

Vividly illustrating the inequities and ironies of global capitalism, cultural criminologist David Redmon's documentary exposes the link between the consumer excesses