

Evaluation Results

Requirement : My Doctoral Research (DR): Committee Plan Review

AUTHOR: [REDACTED]

DATE EVALUATED: 08/08/2024 10:29:48 AM (CDT)

DRF TEMPLATE: [REDACTED] Doctor of Nursing Practice Revised Project

PROGRAM: MyDR for Doctor of Nursing Practice Updated Project

EVALUATION METHOD : Using Rubric

FINAL SCORE
Does not Meet

Detailed Results

(Rubric used : DNP Plan Review Rubric)

1. THE GAP IN PRACTICE OR PRACTICE CHANGE AND PURPOSE ARE CLEARLY ARTICULATED, WORTHY OF DOCTORAL STUDY, AND WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE DNP ROLE.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

0.50

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

problem, gap, and practice change are clear in most documents but some revisions are needed to fully clarify and align the intervention to the outcome.
see comments below

2. THE DOCTORAL PROJECT IS GROUNDED IN A FOCUSED APPLICATION OF A TRANSLATION OF EVIDENCE MODEL AND A PROJECT MANAGEMENT APPROACH.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

1.00

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

project management approach is used for this project

3. THE DOCTORAL PROJECT REFLECTS MASTERY OF THE CURRENT STATE OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE IN THE AREA OF THE PROJECT. CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND CLEARLY DESCRIBE THE HISTORY OF THE PROBLEM AND THE PRACTICE SETTING IN WHICH THE PROJECT WILL BE UNDERTAKEN.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

0.50

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

More evidence to support project is needed
educational intervention and tool need further development
see comments below

4. THE DOCTORAL PROJECT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO ADVANCE NURSING PRACTICE AND PROMOTE POSITIVE SOCIAL CHANGE IN INDIVIDUALS, SOCIETY, SYSTEMS, AND/OR COMMUNITIES.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

1.00

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

good potential for social change

5. THE PROJECT PURPOSE, AND TYPE OF PROJECT ARE CONSISTENT WITH NORMS AND EXPECTATIONS OF THE SCHOLARSHIP OF APPLICATION AND EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

1.00

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

Project purpose and type (staff ed) are consistent with DNP scholarship

6. THE TYPE OF DOCTORAL PROJECT, SOURCES OF EVIDENCE, AND SPECIFIC APPROACH TO CARRYING OUT THE PROJECT ARE WELL ALIGNED WITH THE GAP IN PRACTICE OR PRACTICE CHANGE TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE PROJECT.

0. NOT MET

1. MET

CRITERION SCORE :

0.50

COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

Sources of evidence and educational program need further developed and outcome needs clarified in some documents.

see comments below