

## **CONSTRUCTIVE COMMUNICATION IN EFFECTIVE NEGOTIATION**

**NELA MIRCICĂ**  
nelamircica@yahoo.com  
Spiru Haret University

**ABSTRACT.** Applying new conceptual and methodological approaches, this study advances to the next level research on the function of gender in negotiation conduct and results, communication as a relevant variable in predicting bargained outcomes, the role of psychological impact in negotiations, and the capacity for communication to frame negotiation mechanisms and results. The theory that I shall seek to elaborate here puts considerable emphasis on the interpersonal consequences of emotions in negotiations, the impact of the communication conduct of bargainers on agreements reached, the intricate and unclear influences of communication in bargaining, and processes through which communication affects bargaining results. The findings of this study have implications for the function of communication in negotiation, behavioral decision making in bargaining, the feasible role for communication in bringing about just outcomes, and emotional intelligence's effect on negotiation.

**Keywords:** communication; negotiation; interpersonal; emotion; decision making

### **1. Introduction**

Communication can improve negotiation effectiveness via trustworthy disclosure and synchronization (the function of communication is a medium for potentially reliable expose and an occasion for timing as given). Given the chance, negotiators are likely to over-communicate. Views about the character of the interplay constitute the foundation for bargaining conduct (Popescu Ljungholm, 2014b) and the final conditions of (dis)agreement. The subject matter of communication frames the negotiation case and therefore can assist in prefiguring bargaining conduct and closing settlements. Communication prepares behavior by indicating the underlying character of the interaction. The negotiation frame is established via initial and endogenous communication, moulding bargainers' conducts and the conditions of the final arrangement. (McGinn and Noth, 2012) Any manner of transaction should lead to a sound

settlement if the latter is possible, should be successful, and should enhance the connection between the participants. Falling flat to deal with individuals sensitively as persons inclined to human feedbacks (Lăzăroiu, 2014) can be calamitous for a bargaining. Every negotiator aims to attain an arrangement that meets his essential concerns. Framing a bargaining as a competition of determination over situations worsens the entangling procedure. Positional negotiation is concerned with a bargainer's concerns both in significance and in a relevant link by trading one off opposing the other (bargainers spend substantial time fortifying their attitude and criticizing the other side's). The capacity to perceive the position as the other side identifies it is an outstanding skill a bargainer can own. (Fisher et al., 2011) Definite bargaining results signify that women may be positioned at an organized disadvantage compared with men in negotiation. Cases that make bargaining and the female gender function more compatible may cut down gender dissimilarities in negotiation. Bargaining successfully for economic results mainly demands agentic attributes such as acting assertively or competitively. Women as contrasted with men confront an inappropriateness between their gender function and the agentic conducts normally involved in negotiations. For women, acting in agreement with the female function and preventing possible backlash should generate less bargaining conducts that augment economic results. Acquiring negotiation experience might reduce women's dependence on the female gender function. (Mazei et al., 2015)

## **2. Communication as a Relevant Variable in Predicting Bargained Outcomes**

Communication between participants functions as a medium for transmitting and influencing others' reasons underlying conduct. The subject matter of communication preceding or throughout negotiation can have significant framing consequences on bargaining conducts and results. Communication produces negotiation frames that generate convictions about how to act and what to think of other individuals. (McGinn and Noth, 2012) The resolution of the premises of a bargaining area functions as a boundary which assists in defending numerous negotiators. The less significant the bargaining zone, the more difficult it is for the persons entailed to affect it. A negotiated result is a favorable outcome for the bargainers if it is more relevant than the present option. To establish the goals in transactions is notable for the positive result of those negotiations. All stakeholders with their specific targets are significant in establishing control (Dan, 2014) over the positive result of a bargaining. Effective negotiators take the transactions from the standpoint of their bargaining participants into account (Toader, 2014), are confident that they can modify everything for the better, and employ their skills and concentrate on

the undertakings in which they can make a constructive variation. The connection level has a decisive function in transactions as one confronts persons with various views and demands. (Opresnik, 2013)

A bargainer's capacity to manage emotion (Pera, 2013) generates better negotiation functioning. Both negative and positive feeling has a crucial function in affecting negotiation results. An emotionally intelligent bargainer tends to advance positive links with equivalents (Bensman, 2014), stimulating them to aim to cooperate frequently in the future. By allowing bargainers to completely use their social and communication abilities (Peters, 2014c), emotional intelligence should promote relationship with equals that, in turn, tends to generate better bargaining outcomes. (Kim et al., 2014) Negotiating participants frequently diverge with reference to power, and power dissimilarities impact the manner in which bargaining processes improve and come to an end. The interpersonal consequences of irritation and satisfaction on negotiation conduct are regulated by the bargainers' power. Negotiation is a social fact: bargainers' emotions affect themselves and their counterparts. The former make more significant compromises and lower requests (Popescu Ljungholm, 2014c) when their equivalent exhibits irritation rather than satisfaction. Power and emotion interact to affect bargaining conduct. (Van Kleef et al., 2006)

Detached emotions undergone at the negotiation table can generate significant modifications in the participants' conduct. Transactions are suitable framework for the investigation of the intrinsic assessments of emotions, as characteristics of the bargaining background may smoothly activate them. Remorse diminishes a negotiator's inclination to utilize trickery by boosting her probability of taking her equal's viewpoint. Guilty bargainers are more prosocial and undertake less duplicity in comparison with negotiators who are in a negative emotional condition. (Gino and Shea, 2012) Nearly all transactions are variable-sum in character: if participants cooperate, they can produce more shared value (Silva Martins, 2014) than if they are simply contentious. Successful bargaining entails intentional thought and groundwork. As a mixed-motive operation, negotiation requires both collaboration and contest. In numerous transaction cases, attaining settlement is a question of preference. (Thompson, 2012) If bargainers do not obviously remark a connection between female negotiators and their constituency, confidence among the latter may not be quickly regarded as relationship-oriented conduct. When bargainers function as business agents, it can be uncertain for whom they are basically negotiating. Untrained bargainers tend to be doubtful about correct and successful negotiation behavior. Acquiring negotiation experience should facilitate individuals to advance a code of behavior or script of suitable and helpful conduct. Negotiation experience improves the comprehension of the undertakings of a bargainer. Women acting compatible with their gender function might not dynamically aim occasions to negotiate. (Mazei et al., 2015)

### **3. The Capacity for Communication to Frame Negotiation Mechanisms and Results**

The procedure of negotiation is more powerful as each party puts their endorsement little by little on a developing clarification. Face-saving entails resolving an arrangement with standards and with the self-image of the bargainers. Individuals frequently come to a transaction recognizing that the stakes are significant and feeling intimidated. Emotions may bring a bargaining to a deadlock or an end. Bargaining is a procedure of communicating back and forth for the aim of attaining a shared decision. In numerous transactions, each part elucidates and denounces for a long period of time the incentives and aims of the other participant. (Fisher et al., 2011) Emotional exhibition and gestures can supply essential data about the adversary's concern in the transaction. When bargainers undergo relationship, they indicate concern for their equivalent (Peters, 2014b), which supplies a mainstay for confidence. Rapport can assist bargainers in more precisely construe social data, and could help bargainers obtain information about their equals' concerns and confines, being a crucial means through which emotional intelligence utilizes impact on some positive bargaining results. (Kim et al., 2014)

Interpersonal consequences of emotion develop dissimilarly in moral conditions and with morally charged matters in bargaining. The reading of a moral topic can be influenced by the emotional exhibition transmitted by the rival bargainer in the transaction. The furious or unhappy emotional expressions unveiled by the opponent throughout the bargaining might have an influence on parties' moral assessments. Indicating fury may not be the best scheme to accomplish more relevant concession rates in transaction. Exhibitions of anger may generate lower compromises if one of the participants connects moral importance to the aims of the bargaining. Moral interests can alter within negotiation in manners that further collaboration and compromise making. (Dehghani et al., 2014) Real world bargainers frequently perceive transaction as equivalent with impact. In negotiation circumstances, the state of affairs tendency may have its most considerable function in the contracting stage. Persons may have the capacity to affect which reference perspective is made prominent to other individuals in the bargaining. A better comprehension of how people can improve and employ their persuasion knowledge (Peters and Besley, 2014) in real-world transactions would be effective. Value creation concentrates on actions to enhance the supply of resources achievable to the participants in the bargaining. Impact schemes can be successfully utilized to assist the focal bargainer augment the goal's fulfillment from the agreement that it struck. (Malhotra and Bazerman, 2008)

Gender stereotypes restrict women from bargaining access to supplies and chances through lowered operation requirements and gendered behavioral

limitations. Bargainers adapt their proposals counting on whether they are transacting with a man or a woman. Bargaining is a pivotal management and business ability (Sum and Chorlian, 2014) in which men have an unimaginative advantage over women. Bargainers employ graphic stereotypes to make ascriptions about equivalents and components. (Riley Bowles, 2013) The gender dissimilarity in economic outcomes privileging men should be diminished when involvement in a bargaining is self-initiated. Consolidative transactions supply occasions for shared benefits (numerous matters are bargained that are appreciated dissimilarly by the concerned participants). The collaborative conducts of women can generate low economic results in both distributive and consolidative transactions. Several effects may impact function congruity for women in negotiation (gender dissimilarities in economic results should rely on the particular circumstances). When women support another person, assertiveness can be construed as a link-oriented conduct. (Mazei et al., 2015)

#### **4. The Impact of the Communication Conduct of Bargainers on Agreements Reached**

Bargainers play the transaction game they identify (Karnani, 2014), rather than some neutral requirement of a game (attributional procedures are decisive to perceiving the judgments of bargainers). Ethical criteria in transaction are crucial to comprehending the character of the bargaining game. Negotiation abilities and results may mainly be enhanced with instruction. (Tsay and Bazerman, 2009) Individuals undertake a sensible, cost-benefit analysis (Popescu Ljungholm, 2014a) when determining whether to distort data for the purpose of accelerating their self-interest in bargaining. In most transactions, the participants have partial and nonpublic information. Throughout a transaction, parties tend to undergo various emotions, or they may undertake the bargaining with specifically good or bad attitudes. Positive emotions generate a broad diversity of advantageous results in transaction (Popescu, 2014), and should have a preponderantly positive consequence on diminishing trickery in bargaining (negative emotions should predominantly advance insincerity. (Gino and Shea, 2012)

Bargaining is one's leading communication and impact instrument inside and outside the organization, being an interpersonal decision-making procedure required whenever we cannot attain our purposes single-handedly. The active, changing character of business indicates that individuals must renegotiate their survival in entities throughout their careers. Supervisors must be in a near-constant fashion of negotiating chances. Negotiators should know how to function in unclear settings, and reason out their conduct in a self-perpetuating manner. (Thompson, 2012) Gender-stereotype regulating and

compliance assist in clarifying why women function better in transactions in which they are defending for other individuals as adverse to themselves. The consequences of gender stereotypes may increase, decline, and alter tendency across bargaining circumstances. Women profit more than men from adapting their negotiating manner to the social position. There is a genuine requirement to better comprehend how organizational culture and setting (Peters, 2014a) regulate gender impacts in negotiation. Bargaining is an influential social procedure in the composition and strengthening of gender inequality. (Riley Bowles, 2013) Negotiating equivalents may observe women's assertive bargaining conduct (Burke and Crozier, 2014) as gender function deviation. Dissimilarities between men and women in bargaining results are context-bound. The resolution of women to establish a negotiation no doubt impacts the insights of their bargaining counterparts. With augmenting experience, it should be simpler for bargainers to attain adequate results. Under prerequisites of significant role incompatibility, women may incur expenditures in bargaining over time and various opportunities. Instruction might cut down the uncertainty in future transactions and advance function congruity for women: gender dissimilarities favoring men in bargaining and their connected effects might be moderated. (Mazei et al., 2015)

## **5. Conclusions**

Communication may frame judgments about the basic character of and aim for the negotiation (Nyarko et al., 2014), and shapes the collective grasp of the bargaining. Discussion before or within negotiation may generate bargaining frames that determine beliefs, conducts and results. Games in which all outstanding data is known by both participants preceding play may cancel out the formal informational benefits of communication. Communicating before or in the process of negotiation with full information encourages synchronization (Koplyay et al., 2014) beyond that on account of the discussion of objective information. Predispositions for equity are unbalanced and may be influenced by several characteristics of the negotiation circumstances. Individuals moderate their negotiation conduct to be compatible with the reasons and behavior they attribute to other participants. (McGinn and Noth, 2012) Bargaining intensely for one's concerns does not mean being restricted to the other party's position. Myopic egocentrism influences a negotiator to advance only biased viewpoints, tendentious rationales (Bacalu, 2013), and partisan solutions. Right-minded bargaining leads to rational arrangements friendly and expeditiously. A moral negotiator is receptive to well-grounded influencing on the merits. In most transactions, individuals employ neutral standards as rationales in backing of a viewpoint. The justification one bargains is to create something more relevant than the outcomes you can get without negotiating.

(Fisher et al., 2011) Complete groundwork for transactions might be beneficial for female negotiators in acquiring substantial economic results. In negotiations carried out in support of or for the advantage of others (Pera, 2014), women may take advantage on the resulting more significant role harmony. Individuals' gender role predisposition tends to moderate gender dissimilarities (De Beaufort and Summers, 2014) in negotiation. Gender variations in bargaining may differ over various dyad gender configurations. Particular social norms within entities may outweigh the impact of gender functions as guidelines for negotiators' conducts. (Mazei et al., 2015)

## REFERENCES

- Bacalu, Filip (2013), "The Social Processes that Underlie Language Use," *Analysis and Metaphysics* 12: 178–183.
- Bensman, David (2014), "Misclassification: Workers in the Borderland," *Journal of Self-Governance and Management Economics* 2(2): 7–25.
- Burke, Penny J., and Gill Crozier (2014), "Higher Education Pedagogies: Gendered Formations, Mis/recognition and Emotion," *Journal of Research in Gender Studies* 4(2): 67–79.
- Dan, Sorin (2014), "Welfare and Work: How and How Much Do TANF Cash Benefits Affect the Labor Supply of Single Parents?" *Psychosociological Issues in Human Resource Management* 2(1): 34–50.
- De Beaufort, Viviane, and Lucy Summers (2014), "Women on Boards: Sharing a Rigorous Vision of the Functioning of Boards, Demanding a New Model of Corporate Governance," *Journal of Research in Gender Studies* 4(1): 101–140.
- Dehghani, Morteza, Peter J. Carnevale, and Jonathan Gratch (2014), "Interpersonal Effects of Expressed Anger and Sorrow in Morally Charged Negotiation," *Judgment and Decision Making* 9(2): 104–113.
- Fisher, Roger, William L. Ury, and Bruce Patton (2011), *Getting to Yes: Negotiating an Agreement without Giving in*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. New York: Random House.
- Gino, Francesca, and Catherine Shea (2012), "Deception in Negotiation: The Influence of Emotion," in Gary E. Bolton and Rachel T. A. Croson (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Economic Conflict Resolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Karnani, Aneel (2014), "Corporate Social Responsibility Does Not Avert the Tragedy of the Commons. Case Study: Coca-Cola India," *Economics, Management, and Financial Markets* 9(3): 11–33.
- Kim, Kihwan, Nicole L. A. Cundiff, and Suk Bong Choi (2014), "The Influence of Emotional Intelligence on Negotiation Outcomes and the Mediating Effect of Rapport: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach," *Negotiation Journal* 30(1): 49–68.
- Koplyay, Tamas, Doug Lloyd, and Csaba Mako (2014), "HR Issues Evolution along the Market Lifecycle and the Value Chain: Case of the Hi-Tech Industry," *Psychosociological Issues in Human Resource Management* 2(1): 7–33.
- Lăzăroiu, George (2014), "The Role of Social Media as a News Provider," *Review of Contemporary Philosophy* 13: 78–83.

- Malhotra, Deepak, and Max H. Bazerman (2008), "Psychological Influence in Negotiation: An Introduction Long Overdue," *Journal of Management* 34(3): 509–531.
- Mazei, Jens, Joachim Hüffmeier, Philipp Alexander Freund, Alice F. Stuhlmacher, Lena Bilke, and Guido Hertel (2015), "A Meta-Analysis on Gender Differences in Negotiation Outcomes and Their Moderators," *Psychological Bulletin* 141. *Forthcoming*
- McGinn, Kathleen L., and Markus Noth (2012), "Communicating Frames in Negotiations," in Gary E. Bolton and Rachel T. A. Croson (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Economic Conflict Resolution*. New York: Oxford University Press, 61–75.
- Nyarko, Christopher Opoku, William Baah-Boateng, and Edward Nketiah-Amponsah (2014), "The Effect of Search Effort on the Transition from Unemployment to Work: Evidence from a Cross-Section of Ghanaian Formal Sector Workers," *Journal of Self-Governance and Management Economics* 2(2): 44–60.
- Opresnik, Marc O. (2013), *The Hidden Rules of Successful Negotiation and Communication: Getting to Yes!* Dordrecht: Springer.
- Pera, Aurel (2014), "Neural Mechanisms Underlying School-Based Learning," *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice* 6(1): 7–12.
- Pera, Aurel (2013), "The Relationship between Faculty Practices and Student Engagement and Learning," *Analysis and Metaphysics* 12: 160–165.
- Peters, Michael A. (2014a), "Problematizing Liberal Cosmopolitanisms: Foucault and Neoliberal Cosmopolitan Governmentality," *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice* 6(1): 13–37.
- Peters, Michael A. (2014b), "Eurocentrism and the Critique of 'Universal World History: The Eastern Origins of Western Civilization,'" *Geopolitics, History, and International Relations* 6(1): 63–77.
- Peters, Michael A. (2014c), "Anxieties of Knowing: Academic Pathologies, Critical Philosophy and the Culture of the Academic Self," *Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations* 13: 55–76.
- Peters, Michael A., and Tina Besley (2014), "Subjectivity, Employability and the Crisis of Youth Unemployment in the Great Global Recession: Notes towards a Concept of Knowledge Socialism," *Knowledge Cultures* 2(2): 152–165.
- Popescu, Gheorghe H. (2014), "The Economic Implications of EHealth and MHealth Technologies," *American Journal of Medical Research* 1(2): 31–37.
- Popescu Ljungholm, Doina (2014a), "The Process of Collaborative Governing," *Geopolitics, History, and International Relations* 6(2): 105–110.
- Popescu Ljungholm, Doina (2014b), "Social Rights of Asylum Seekers and Refugees in the EU," *Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations* 13: 164–169.
- Popescu Ljungholm, Doina (2014c), "The Role of Emotional Labor in the Delivery of Public Services," *Review of Contemporary Philosophy* 13: 11–16.
- Riley Bowles, Hannah (2013), "Psychological Perspectives on Gender in Negotiation," in Michelle K. Ryan and Nyla R. Branscombe (eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Gender and Psychology*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 465–483.
- Silva Martins, Catarina (2014), "Disrupting the Consensus: Creativity in European Educational Discourses as a Technology of Government," *Knowledge Cultures* 2(3): 118–135.

- Sum, Vichet, and Jack Chorlian (2014), "Training and the Firm's Competitiveness: A Survey of Practitioners," *Economics, Management, and Financial Markets* 9(2): 11–26.
- Thompson, Leigh L. (2012), *The Mind and Heart of the Negotiator*. 5<sup>th</sup> edn. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Toader, Elena (2014), "The Effects of Rising Healthcare Costs on the US Economy," *American Journal of Medical Research* 1(2): 44–50.
- Tsay, Chia-Jung, and Max H. Bazerman (2009), "A Decision-Making Perspective to Negotiation: A Review of the Past and a Look to the Future," *Negotiation Journal* 25(4): 467–480.
- Van Kleef, Gerben A., Carsten K. W. de Dreu, Davide Pietroni, and Antony S. R. Manstead (2006), "Power and Emotion in Negotiation: Power Moderates the Interpersonal Effects of Anger and Happiness on Concession Making," *European Journal of Social Psychology* 36(4): 557–581.