

**C3: Summative Assessment Rubric**



Criteria	Ratings					
<p>Writing Mechanics</p>	<p><b>MASTERY</b> Only minimal spelling, grammar, and/or punctuation errors present (1-2 errors). The overall sentence structure is well developed throughout, and paragraphs are fully developed with consistent style and clear communication.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b> Minor spelling, grammar, and/or punctuation errors present, and/or sentence structure lacks minor elements of professional writing, and/or paragraphs are consistently developed. (3-4 errors)</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b> Minimal spelling, grammar, and/or punctuation errors present, and/or sentence structure lacks elements of professional writing, and/or paragraphs are not consistently developed. (5-7 errors)</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b> Multiple spelling grammar and/or punctuation errors present and/or sentence structure does not meet professional writing standards, and/or paragraphs are minimally developed. (more than 7-9 errors)</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b> Significant spelling, grammar, and/or punctuation errors present, and/or sentence structure does not meet professional writing standards, and/or paragraphs are poorly developed. (10 or more errors)</p>	
<p>Sources</p>	<p><b>MASTERY</b> The use of sources was consistent throughout the document in all areas to support claims and/or statements in the artifact.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b> In most areas, sources were consistently used throughout the submission to support claims and/or statements in the artifact.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b> Sources were occasionally used to support some claims and/or statements in the artifact, but statements were not consistently supported with sources throughout.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b> Minimal usage of sources present in the artifact, and/or the overall communication lacked appropriate research support.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b> Sources were not used to support major statements and/or claims, where needed in the artifact</p>	
<p>APA formatting</p>	<p><b>MASTERY</b> Citations, references, and formatting follow APA style guide, with only one or two minor errors.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b> Citations, references, and formatting follow APA style guide, with more than 2 errors, but they are minor.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b> APA style is demonstrated with some consistency, but the majority of the citation, references, and/or formatting is not correct.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b> Formatting, citations, and/or references, are attempted, but do not follow APA style guidelines consistently.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b> Formatting, citations, and references are not included, or do not follow appropriate APA style guide.</p>	
<p>Organizational Overview</p>	<p><b>MASTERY</b> Provides a comprehensive overview of the organization, clearly articulating its mission, vision, services, and the population served; contextualizes the organization effectively in relation to emerging diseases</p>		<p><b>PROFICIENT</b> Provides a clear overview with most key elements present; adequately contextualizes the organization in relation to emerging diseases.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b> Overview is somewhat clear but lacks detail on key elements or context; some important information may be missing.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b> Provides a vague overview with minimal detail; context is poorly defined or missing entirely.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b> Fails to provide an overview of the organization or includes significant inaccuracies</p>

Key Principles of Practice Management	<p><b>MASTERY</b></p> <p>Thoroughly identifies and defines key principles relevant to the organization; provides insightful discussion on how these principles align with population health goals.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b></p> <p>Identifies and defines most key principles; discusses their alignment with population health goals with some detail.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b></p> <p>Provides a basic identification of principles with limited definition; alignment with population health goals is vague.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b></p> <p>Identifies few principles or lacks clarity in definitions; minimal discussion on alignment with population health goals.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b></p> <p>Fails to identify relevant principles or provides inaccurate information.</p>
Application of Conceptual Frameworks	<p><b>MASTERY</b></p> <p>Provides a detailed analysis of how conceptual frameworks have been applied within the organization; discusses the effectiveness of these frameworks in addressing emerging disease challenges with clear examples.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b></p> <p>Analyzes the application of frameworks with good detail; discusses effectiveness but may lack specific examples</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b></p> <p>Provides a basic analysis with limited detail on frameworks; effectiveness discussion is vague or lacks depth.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b></p> <p>Lacks depth in analyzing framework applications; minimal discussion on effectiveness and challenges.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b></p> <p>Fails to analyze frameworks or provides incorrect information.</p>
Assessment of Practical Strategies	<p><b>MASTERY</b></p> <p>Thoroughly examines practical strategies implemented by the organization; assesses successes and challenges in detail with relevant examples and data.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b></p> <p>Examines practical strategies with good detail; assessment of successes and challenges is generally clear but may lack depth.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b></p> <p>Provides a basic examination of strategies but lacks detail; success and challenge assessments are vague.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b></p> <p>Provides minimal examination of strategies; assessment lacks clarity and relevance.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b></p> <p>Fails to examine practical strategies or includes significant inaccuracies.</p>
Impact on Population Health Outcomes	<p><b>MASTERY</b></p> <p>Evaluates the impact of practice management strategies on population health outcomes comprehensively; uses relevant data and metrics effectively to illustrate these impacts.</p>	<p><b>PROFICIENT</b></p> <p>Evaluates the impact clearly, with some relevant data; illustrates impacts on population health outcomes reasonably well.</p>	<p><b>DEVELOPING</b></p> <p>Provides a basic evaluation of impact but lacks detail; data used is minimal or not clearly connected to outcomes.</p>	<p><b>EMERGING</b></p> <p>Lacks depth in evaluating impact; minimal or irrelevant data presented; connections to population health outcomes are unclear.</p>	<p><b>NOT EVIDENT</b></p> <p>Fails to evaluate the impact or presents incorrect or misleading information.</p>