

```
print_all(greek_gods);
cout<<"\n";
```

This should give

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
{ Freia, Odin, Thor }
{ Zeus, Poseidon, Ares, Athena, Hera }
```

17.10 The `this` pointer

Note that each of our list functions takes a `Link*` as its first argument and accesses data in that object. That's the kind of function that we often make member functions. Could we simplify `Link` (or `link` use) by making the operations members? Could we maybe make the pointers private so that only the member functions have access to them? We could:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
class Link {
public:
    string value;

    Link(const string& v, Link* p = nullptr, Link* s = nullptr)
        : value{v}, prev{p}, succ{s} {}

    Link* insert(Link* n);           // insert n before this object
    Link* add(Link* n);              // insert n after this object
    Link* erase();                   // remove this object from list
    Link* find(const string& s);      // find s in list
    const Link* find(const string& s) const; // find s in const list (see §18.5.1)
```

```
Link* advance(int n) const;           // move n positions in list
```

```
Link* next() const { return succ; }
Link* previous() const { return prev; }
private:
    Link* prev;
    Link* succ;
};
```

This looks promising. We defined the operations that don't change the state of a `Link` into `const` member functions. We added (nonmodifying) `next()` and `previous()` functions so that users could iterate over lists (of `Links`) — those are needed now that direct access to `succ` and `prev` is prohibited. We left `value` as a public member because (so far) we have no reason not to; it is “just data.”

Now let's try to implement `Link::insert()` by copying our previous global `insert()` and modifying it suitably:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* Link::insert(Link* n)           // insert n before p; return n
{
    Link* p = this;                   // pointer to this object
    if (n==nullptr) return p;         // nothing to insert
    if (p==nullptr) return n;         // nothing to insert into
    n->succ = p;                       // p comes after n
    if (p->prev) p->prev->succ = n;
    n->prev = p->prev;                 // p's predecessor becomes n's predecessor
    p->prev = n;                      // n becomes p's predecessor
```

```
    return n;
}
```



But how do we get a pointer to the object for which `Link::insert()` was called? Without help from the language we can't. However, in every member function, the identifier `this` is a pointer that points to the object for which the member function was called. Alternatively, we could simply use `this` instead of `p`:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* Link::insert(Link* n)    // insert n before this object; return n
{
    if (n==nullptr) return this;
    if (this==nullptr) return n;
    n->succ = this;             // this object comes after n
    if (this->prev) this->prev->succ = n;
    n->prev = this->prev;        // this object's predecessor
                                // becomes n's predecessor
    this->prev = n;              // n becomes this object's predecessor
    return n;
}
```

This is a bit verbose, but we don't need to mention `this` to access a member, so we can abbreviate:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* Link::insert(Link* n)    // insert n before this object; return n
{
    if (n==nullptr) return this;
```

```
    if (this==nullptr) return n;
    n->succ = this;             // this object comes after n
    if (prev) prev->succ = n;
    n->prev = prev;             // this object's predecessor becomes n's predecessor
    prev = n;                  // n becomes this object's predecessor
    return n;
}
```

In other words, we have been using the `this` pointer — the pointer to the current object — implicitly every time we accessed a member. It is only when we need to refer to the whole object that we need to mention it explicitly.

Note that `this` has a specific meaning: it points to the object for which a member function is called. It does not point to any old object. The compiler ensures that we do not change the value of `this` in a member function. For example:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
struct S {
    // ...
    void mutate(S* p)
    {
        this = p;    // error: this is immutable
        // ...
    }
};
```

17.10.1 More link use

Having dealt with the implementation issues, we can see how the use now looks:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* norse_gods = new Link{"Thor"};
norse_gods = norse_gods->insert(new Link{"Odin"});
norse_gods = norse_gods->insert(new Link{"Zeus"});
norse_gods = norse_gods->insert(new Link{"Freia"});

Link* greek_gods = new Link{"Hera"};
greek_gods = greek_gods->insert(new Link{"Athena"});
greek_gods = greek_gods->insert(new Link{"Mars"});
greek_gods = greek_gods->insert(new Link{"Poseidon"});
```

That's very much like before. As before, we correct our “mistakes.” Correct the name of the god of war:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* p = greek_gods->find("Mars");
if (p) p->value = "Ares";
```

Move Zeus into his correct Pantheon:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
Link* p2 = norse_gods->find("Zeus");
if (p2) {
    if (p2==norse_gods) norse_gods = p2->next();
    p2->erase();
    greek_gods = greek_gods->insert(p2);
}
```

Finally, let's print out those lists:

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
void print_all(Link* p)
{
    cout << "{ ";
    while (p) {
        cout << p->value;
        if (p=p->next()) cout << ", ";
    }
    cout << " }";

    print_all(norse_gods);
    cout<<"\n";

    print_all(greek_gods);
    cout<<"\n";
}
```

This should again give

[Click here to view code image](#)

```
{ Freia, Odin, Thor }
{ Zeus, Poseidon, Ares, Athena, Hera }
```

So, which version do you like better: the one where `insert()`, etc. are member functions or the one where they are freestanding functions? In this case the differences don't matter much, but see §9.7.5.



One thing to observe here is that we still don't have a list class, only a link class. That forces us to keep worrying about which pointer is the pointer to the first element. We can do better than that — by defining a class `List` — but designs along the lines presented here are very common. The standard library `list` is presented in §20.4.



This drill has two parts. The first exercises/builds your understanding of free-store-allocated arrays and contrasts arrays with `vectors`:

1. Allocate an array of ten `ints` on the free store using `new`.
2. Print the values of the ten `ints` to `cout`.
3. Deallocate the array (using `delete[]`).
4. Write a function `print_array10(ostream& os, int* a)` that prints out the values of `a` (assumed to have ten elements) to `os`.
5. Allocate an array of ten `ints` on the free store; initialize it with the values 100, 101, 102, etc.; and print out its values.
6. Allocate an array of 11 `ints` on the free store; initialize it with the values 100, 101, 102, etc.; and print out its values.

7. Write a function `print_array(ostream& os, int* a, int n)` that prints out the values of `a` (assumed to have `n` elements) to `os`.
8. Allocate an array of 20 `ints` on the free store; initialize it with the values 100, 101, 102, etc.; and print out its values.
9. Did you remember to delete the arrays? (If not, do it.)
10. Do 5, 6, and 8 using a `vector` instead of an array and a `print_vector()` instead of `print_array()`.

The second part focuses on pointers and their relation to arrays. Using `print_array()` from the last drill:

1. Allocate an `int`, initialize it to 7, and assign its address to a variable `p1`.
2. Print out the value of `p1` and of the `int` it points to.
3. Allocate an array of seven `ints`; initialize it to 1, 2, 4, 8, etc.; and assign its address to a variable `p2`.
4. Print out the value of `p2` and of the array it points to.
5. Declare an `int*` called `p3` and initialize it with `p2`.
6. Assign `p1` to `p2`.
7. Assign `p3` to `p2`.
8. Print out the values of `p1` and `p2` and of what they point to.