

Where is the Title Page?

re-word and cite

### Introduction

In the United States, in 100 people, there are 88.8 guns and this is the highest per capita in total in the world. The percentage of Americans who own one or more guns is 22%. The pervasive culture of the gun is rooted in the colonial history, roots of revolution, second Amendment and frontier expansion of America. The Second Amendment states that "A well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed. People who are advocating for the laws of controlling guns indicate that the Second Amendment intentions were to benefit militias, that there will be a reduction of violence of guns, that restrictions for guns has been in existence always and restriction of guns is supported American majority including those who are owning guns. Those who do not advocate for gun control indicate that the Second Amendment is for the protection of lives of individuals who are owners of guns, that they need the guns for self-defence against ranging threats from criminals who are local and invaders who are foreign and that the ownership of guns reduces crime rather than causing more crime (Cook & Goss, 2014).

Cite  
Where is the citation and end of quote?

not original thought must have citation.

Re-word whole paragraph

indent left

### Liberty Restoration

not an opinion. Write in third person

I can point it out from arguments that the liberty of an individual is meaningful when the individual is allowed to willingly and basically destroys his/her own body. The meaning of this is that so long as those who are not consenting are not harmed, one should just smoke freely, amputate limbs, drink and even kill his/her self. All these are accompanied by caveats, there might be some aspect of ignorance of course to an extent of having a real self-destruction. However, the great point is not encouraging citizens who are adults for destroying their bodies slowly but recognizing that our civil society is respecting our

needs citation. This is considered plagiarism.

autonomy, personhood to an extent that if we are willing to harm ourselves, then the respect remains (Nisbet, 2016).

There is a similar application to the freedom of speech. People are able to give expression of even a speech that is most offensive not worrying about sufficient offense. Based on the PC guise or public morality, there is the prevention of citizens from writing, expressing and acting, this undermines their freedom not only for the artists but their audience also, there is already a prevention of them deciding to be the audience. When the decision of something is not good for you is done by the State, when actions are based on grounds of paternalism, then everyone ought to begin worrying. Therefore, the meaning of freedom is anything we act stupidly when we are free and when we are free for ourselves expression. This dynamic has been entirely changed by guns (Nisbet, 2016).

When the citizens are allowed to wield guns, there is the complete undermining of individual liberty. There are challenges to freedom that are monumental and caused by guns particularly the liberty which is the hallmark of democracy. Longing for guns on citizens is an encouragement of a polite and enforced society since guns may compel all people on tampering down of behavior that is eccentric and quit things that might be threatening. There would be a gingered walk, preventing moves that are not expected, watching what comes out of our mouths, watch our actions, watch the person who might be offended. Someone who is brandishing a weapon would be seen as communicating end of discussion. The existence of expression and speech that is free can only be achieved through having the knowledge that the response will not be violent. However, guns would bring a change to this by definition (Nisbet, 2016).

I will read  
more once  
I see  
Citations